Quantum Cluster Methods for Strongly Correlated Electron Systems: Variational Approach

Peyman Sahebsara

Advanced School of Recent Progress in Condensed Matter Physics and Strongly Correlated Systems



5 - 9 July 2008







Part I : Correlated Electron Systems

Part II : *Quantum Cluster Methods*

Part III:

Variational Approach

Part I

Uncorrelated Electron Systems (Standard Solid State Physics)

Uncorrelated Electron Systems



- Each electron moves in a periodic potential
- Electron states form bands with dispersion $\varepsilon_n(k)$
- The ground state is a filled Fermi surface: (e.g. copper)
- Interactions produce scattering of electrons, which are otherwise well-defined (quasi-)particles
- If the Fermi level crosses a band, the system is a conductor;
 otherwise it is an insulator (or a semiconductor).

Uncorrelated Electron Systems

The "theory of everything" in solids :

... is reduced to something manageable :

$$H = \sum_{i} \frac{\mathbf{p}_i^2}{2m} + \sum_{i} V_{sc}(\mathbf{r}_i, \{\mathbf{R}_j\})$$

Uncorrelated Electron Systems



Band structure of La₂CuO₄

- According to this, the material is a metal.
- In reality, it is an

antiferromagnetic insulator!



Mattheiss, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1028 (1987)

Electron Correlations

- They are strong deviations from the independent-electron picture
- Many families of materials are not adequately described by band theory, or by Fermi liquid theory :
 - High-T_c cuprate superconductors
 - Organic superconductors (quasi-1D or quasi-2D)
 - Most magnetic systems,

etc.

Correlations make life difficult (and interesting...)

The Hubbard Model

The Hubbard Model

- Used independently by Gutzwiller, Hubbard, Kanamori
- Basic assumption :

Keep only local Coulomb repulsion (in the Wannier sense) One-band Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{i,j,\sigma} t_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + \mu \sum_{i} n_{i}$$

The Hubbard Model



$$H = \sum_{i,j,\sigma} t_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + \mu \sum_{i} n_{i}$$

Hubbard Model

 C_{α} : Destroys electron in state α (= $i\sigma$) of wavefunctions $\varphi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})$ c^{\dagger}_{α} : Creates electron in state α $n_{\alpha} = c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha}$: number of electrons (0 or 1) in state α $\{c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}, c_{\beta}\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad , \quad \{c_{\alpha}, c_{\beta}\} = \{c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}, c_{\beta}^{\dagger}\} = 0$ $H = \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + \mu \sum_{i} n_{i}$

Real Space :

$$\alpha \to (\mathbf{r}, \sigma)$$

k Space :

$$\alpha \to (\mathbf{k}, b, \sigma)$$

Hubbard model : noninteracting limit

U = 0: reduces to band theory

$$\mathcal{E}(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} e^{-i(n_i - n_j) \cdot k}$$

Ex : square lattice with NN hopping t, NNN hopping t' and 3^{rd} neighbor t"

$$\mathcal{E}(k) = -2t(\cos k_{x} + \cos k_{y}) - 2t'(\cos(k_{x} + k_{y}) + \cos(k_{x} - k_{y})) - 2t''(\cos 2k_{x} + \cos 2k_{y})$$

$$n = 1.3$$

$$n = 1.0$$

$$n = 1.0$$

$$n = 0.7$$

$$k_{x}$$

Hubbard model : large-U limit

- Electrons localized so as to minimize the double-occupancy
- Ground state may be highly degenerate as $U \rightarrow \infty$
- half-filled case \rightarrow Heisenberg model :

$$H \to J \sum_{i,j} S_i \cdot S_j \quad , \quad \left(J = \frac{4t^2}{U} \quad , \quad S = \frac{1}{2} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} c_{\beta} \right)$$

- Tendency towards antiferromagnetism!
- Hence the HM describes undoped cuprates well.

Hubbard model : large-U limit (cont.)

Away from half-filling, the HM goes to the *t*-*J* model when U >> t. (Anderson 1987)

$$H = \sum_{i,j,\sigma} t_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} S_i \cdot S_j \quad , \quad \left(J_{ij} = \frac{4t_{ij}^2}{U} \right)$$

The *t*-*J* model makes sense only when $J \ll t$.

Single-occupancy constraint : no site is allowed to have two electrons The Hubbard Model on finite cluster

Simple Hubbard model (conserves N_{\uparrow} and N_{\downarrow} separately):



Typical cluster (L=10 sites):

A band structure calculation involves the self-consistent of the Schrödinger equation for a single electron :

an eigenproblem of dimension N $\sim 10^3$

But the HM is a full many-body problem, not an effective onebody problem.

Finding just the ground state of the Hubbard model with $N_{\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow}$ electrons on *L* sites requires solving an eigensystem of dimension

$$\frac{L!}{N_{\uparrow}!(L-N_{\uparrow})!} \times \frac{L!}{N_{\downarrow}!(L-N_{\downarrow})!}$$

The Hubbard Model on finite cluster

Half-filled Hubbard model L = 6

Sparse matrix structure 400×400



The Hubbard Model on finite cluster

Half-filled Hubbard model L = 16

For $N_{\uparrow} = N_{\downarrow} = 8$, this is 165 636 900.

| L | dimension |
|----|-------------|
| 2 | 4 |
| 4 | 36 |
| 6 | 400 |
| 8 | 4 900 |
| 10 | 63 504 |
| 12 | 853 776 |
| 14 | 11 778 624 |
| 16 | 165 636 900 |

Solving the Hubbard Model

- Therefore, Hubbard model is difficult to solve
- The more difficult the model, the more there are methods to solve it!

Methods of Solving the Model

- Perturbation theory in U/t (a bad idea) or in t/U (more difficult)
- Self-consistent schemes based on perturbation theory (better)
- Two-particle self-consistent method (TPSC) [Tremblay et al.]
- Variational methods for the ground state (e.g. Gutzwiller)
- Various reductions to a 1-body Hamiltonian:
- Mean-field theory for the ordered state (Hartree-Fock)
- Slave-boson methods
- Monte Carlo simulations
- Exact diagonalizations on small periodic clusters
- Dynamical Mean-Field theory (DMFT)

Quantum Cluster Approaches : CPT, DCA, CDMFT, VCA



Solving the Hubbard Model

Steps:

- 1. Building a basis
- 2. Constructing the Hamiltonian matrix
- 3. Finding the ground state (e.g. by the

Lanczos method)

4. Calculating the one-body Green function

Building a basis

Basis of occupation number eigenstates:

$$(c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger})^{n_{1\uparrow}}\cdots(c_{L\uparrow}^{\dagger})^{n_{L\uparrow}}(c_{1\downarrow}^{\dagger})^{n_{1\downarrow}}\cdots(c_{L\downarrow}^{\dagger})^{n_{L\downarrow}}|0
angle$$

Binary representation of basis states:

$$|b\rangle$$
 where $b = b_{\uparrow} + 2^L b_{\downarrow}$

- Finds the lowest eigenpair by an iterative application of H
- Start with random vector $|\varphi_0\rangle$
- An iterative procedure builds the *Krylov subspace*:

$$\mathscr{K} = \operatorname{span}\left\{ |\phi_0\rangle, H |\phi_0\rangle, H^2 |\phi_0\rangle, \cdots, H^M |\phi_0\rangle \right\}$$

Lanczos three-way recursion:

$$|\phi_{n+1}\rangle = H|\phi_n\rangle - a_n|\phi_n\rangle - b_n^2|\phi_{n-1}\rangle$$

with the coefficients:

$$a_n = \frac{\langle \phi_n | H | \phi_n \rangle}{\langle \phi_n | \phi_n \rangle}$$

$$b_n^2 = \frac{\langle \phi_n | \phi_n \rangle}{\langle \phi_{n-1} | \phi_{n-1} \rangle}$$

$$b_0 = 0$$

The projected Hamiltonian has the tri-diagonal form

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & b_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ b_1 & a_1 & b_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & a_2 & b_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_M \end{pmatrix}$$

The basis contains normalized states

$$|n\rangle = |\phi_n\rangle / \sqrt{\langle \phi_n | \phi_n \rangle}$$

- At each step *n*, find the lowest eigenvalue of that matrix
- Stop when the lowest eigenvalue E_0 has converged

 $(\Delta E_0 = E_0 < 10^{-12})$

- Then re-run to find eigenvector $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n} \psi_{n} |n\rangle$ as the $|\varphi_{n}\rangle$'s are not kept in memory.
 - Typical required number of iterations: from 20 to 200
 - Extreme eigenvalues converge first
- Rate of convergence increases with separation between ground state and first excited state
- Cannot resolve degenerate ground states : only one state per ground state manifold is picked up

Lanczos Method and Green Function

$$G_{\mu\nu}(\omega) = G_{\mu\nu,e}(\omega) + G_{\mu\nu,h}(\omega)$$

$$G_{\mu\nu,e}(\omega) = \langle \Omega | c_{\mu} \frac{1}{\omega - H + E_0} c_{\nu}^{\dagger} | \Omega \rangle$$
$$G_{\mu\nu,h}(\omega) = \langle \Omega | c_{\nu}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\omega + H - E_0} c_{\mu} | \Omega \rangle$$

Lanczos Method and Green Function

Then $G_{\mu\mu,e}$ is given by a Jacobi continued fraction

$$G_{\mu\mu,e}(\omega) = \frac{\langle \phi_{\mu} | \phi_{\mu} \rangle}{\omega - a_0 - \frac{b_1^2}{\omega - a_1 - \frac{b_2^2}{\omega - a_2 - \cdots}}}$$

The coefficients a_n and b_n are stored in memory
What about non diagonal elements G_{µv,e}?

E. Dagotto, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66:763 (1994)

Lanczos Method and Green Function

Trick: Define the combination

$$G^+_{\mu\nu,e}(\omega) = \langle \Omega | (c_\mu + c_\nu) \frac{1}{\omega - H + E_0} (c_\mu + c_\nu)^{\dagger} | \Omega \rangle$$

$$G^{+}_{\mu\nu,e}(\omega)$$
 can be calculated like $G_{\mu\nu,e}(\omega)$
We have
 $G_{\mu\nu,e}(\omega) = G_{\nu\mu,e}(\omega)$

Then

$$G_{\mu\nu,e}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \left[G^+_{\mu\nu,e}(\omega) - G^-_{\mu\mu,e}(\omega) - G^-_{\nu\nu,e}(\omega) \right]$$

Likewise for $G_{\mu\nu,h}(\omega)$

E. Dagotto, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66:763 (1994)

The Band Lanczos Algorithm

Define

$$|\phi_{\mu}\rangle = c^{\dagger}_{\mu}|\Omega\rangle, \, \mu = 1, \dots, L$$

Extended Krylov space :

$$\left\{ |\phi_1\rangle, \dots, |\phi_L\rangle, H |\phi_1\rangle, \dots, H |\phi_L\rangle, \dots, \\ (H)^M |\phi_1\rangle, \dots, (H)^M |\phi_L\rangle \right\}$$

- States are built iteratively and orthogonalized
- Possible linearly dependent states are eliminated ('deflation')
- A band representation of the Hamiltonian (2L + 1 diagonals) is formed in the Krylov subspace.
- It is diagonalized and the eigenpairs are used to build an approximate
 Lehmann representation

- The usual Lanczos method for the Green function needs 3 vectors in memory, and L(L + 1) Lanczos procedures.
- The Band Lanczos method requires 3L + 1 vectors in memory, but requires only 2 iterative procedures ((e) et (h)).
- If Memory allows it, the band Lanczos is much faster

To be continued ...