Minimal supersymmetric standard model

Yasaman Farzan IPM, Tehran

Standard model

Building blocks of nature

Bosons

Fermions

Elementary particles

Main forces in Standard Model (SM): Gravitation

Electrodynamics Weak interaction

$$\begin{array}{c} \gamma \\ W^{\pm} & Z^0 \end{array}$$

Strong interaction

Higgs ?!



Lagrangian of the leptonsEM
$$-eA_{\mu}\sum_{\alpha}(\bar{\ell}_{\alpha L}\gamma^{\mu}\ell_{\alpha L} + \bar{\ell}_{\alpha R}\gamma^{\mu}\ell_{\alpha R})$$
NC: $\frac{eZ_{\mu}}{\sin \theta_{w} \cos \theta_{w}} \left[\sum_{\alpha}(\frac{\bar{\nu}_{\alpha L}\gamma^{\mu}\nu_{\alpha L}}{2} + \frac{\bar{\ell}_{\alpha L}(2\sin^{2}\theta_{w} - 1)\gamma^{\mu}\ell_{\alpha L}}{2} + \sin^{2}\theta_{w}\bar{\ell}_{\alpha R}\gamma^{\mu}\ell_{\alpha R})\right]$ CC: $\frac{e}{\sqrt{2}\sin \theta_{w} \cos \theta_{w}} \left[\sum_{\alpha}(\bar{\nu}_{\alpha L}\gamma^{\mu}\ell_{\alpha L}W_{\mu}^{+} + \bar{\ell}_{\alpha L}\gamma^{\mu}\nu_{\alpha L}W_{\mu}^{-})\right]$ Mass: $m_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\ell}_{\alpha R}\ell_{\beta L} + H.c. \bullet O$ In Old SM: $m_{\nu} = 0$

Reminder

 Quantum mechanics (schrodinger equation)



Special relativity

Quantum field theory (Dirac Equation for fermions)



What is the anti-particle of the electron? What is the anti-particle of the muon? What is the anti-particle of the tau? What is the anti-particle of the up-quark? What is the anti-particle of photon? What is the anti-particle of the Z boson? What is the anti-particle of the neutrino?

$$\nu_e + X \to e^- + Y \qquad \bar{\nu}_e + X \to e^+ + Y$$
$$\nu_\mu + X \to \mu^- + Y \qquad \bar{\nu}_\mu + X \to \mu^+ + Y$$
$$\nu_\tau + X \to \tau^- + Y \qquad \bar{\nu}_\tau + X \to \tau^+ + Y$$

Modern question: Are the neutrino and antineutrino the same particles? More technically: Are neutrinos of Majorana nature ?

Supersymmetry

Each particle has superpartner with • exactly the same quantum numbers but with different spin.

 Fermion
 sfermion

 Boson
 name+ino

Naming the super-partners of the superpartners

Electron Muon Tau Top Neutrino

Selectron • Smuon • Stau • Stop • Sneutrino •

 $f \leftrightarrow \tilde{f}$ $q \leftrightarrow \tilde{q}$ $l \leftrightarrow \hat{l}$

squark slepton

How many degrees of freedom does a Dirac fermion such as electron have?



$$f_L^- f_R^- f_L^+ f_R^+$$

$$\tilde{f}_L^- \tilde{f}_R^- \tilde{f}_L^+ \tilde{f}_R^+$$

Naming the superpartners of the bosons

- Higgs Higgsino
 Gluon Gluino
 W Wino
 Photon ???
 Z ???
- Neutralino ensity

 Neutralino chargino

Why beyond Standard Model

- Theoretical motivation
- Hierarchy problem
- Quest for Unification

Observational Motivation

- Dark matter candidate
- Neutrino mass

References

Martin, A supersymmetric primer, Hep-ph/9709356 (*last update*)

Peskin's lecture notes http://www.slac.stanford.edu/~mpeskin

With his permission, I shall Adwidely use his material in the following but I have ded some other material of my own, too.

Higgs mechanism

$$V = \mu^2 |\varphi|^2 + \lambda |\varphi|^4 \label{eq:point}$$
 with $\mu^2 < 0$.

Hierarchy problem



Solutions

Technicolor



Cancelation because of a new symmetry

shift symmetry > little Higgs models

gauge symmetry > extra dimension models

chiral symmetry ➤ supersymmetry models

Unification

Electric forceMagnetic force



Electromagnetism (prediction??)

Unification

- Electromagnetism
- Weak interaction

Electroweak interactions

$$SU(2) \times U(1)$$



Grand unification

Electroweak

 $SU(2) \times U(1)$

Grand Unification

Strong interaction

$$SO(10) \quad SU(5)$$







Already lots of implications!

$$[Q_{\alpha}, H] = 0$$

$$[Q^{\dagger}_{\alpha}, H] = 0$$

Generalized Jacobian Identity



$$[\{Q_{\alpha}, Q_{\beta}^{\dagger}\}, H] = 0$$

Implication of Lorentz symmetry

 $\{Q_{\alpha}, Q_{\beta}^{\dagger}\} = 2\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu}R_{\mu}$

$$\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} = (1_{2\times 2}, \vec{\sigma})^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}$$

Can
$$R_{\mu}$$
 vanish?

Consider an arbitrary state

$\langle \psi | \{ Q_{\alpha}, Q_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | Q_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha}^{\dagger} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | Q_{\alpha}^{\dagger} Q_{\alpha} | \psi \rangle$ $= \| Q_{\alpha}^{\dagger} | \psi \rangle \|^{2} + \| Q_{\alpha} | \psi \rangle \|^{2}$

is

• Either charges nullify all states or R_{μ} a non-zero conserved vector field.

• For a one-particle system:

$$R_{\mu} = r P_{\mu}$$

$$A + B \rightarrow A + B$$

 P_{μ} Conservation: $P_A + P_B = P'_A + P'_B$

 R_{μ} Conservation : $r_A P_A + r_B P_B = r_A P'_A + r_B P'_B$

Coleman and Mandula: If $r_A \neq r_B$, S = 1 . Thus, $R_\mu = r P_\mu$

$$\{Q_{\alpha}, Q_{\beta}^{\dagger}\} = 2\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu}P_{\mu}$$
$$\{Q_{1}, Q_{1}^{\dagger}\} = 2(E - P^{3}) \quad \{Q_{2}, Q_{2}^{\dagger}\} = 2(E + P^{3})$$
$$\forall \text{ single state } |\psi\rangle,$$
$$Q_{1}|\psi\rangle \neq 0 \text{ and/or } Q_{2}|\psi\rangle \neq 0.$$

Important lesson

You cannot be selective when you supersymmetrize a theory.

More than one pair of Q

$$\{Q_{\alpha}, Q_{\beta}\} = 0 \quad \mathcal{N} = 1$$

More than a pair:

$$\{Q^i_{\alpha}, Q^j_{\beta}\} = Z^{ij}c_{\alpha\beta} \quad Z^{ij} = -Z^{ji}$$

Central charge:

$$Z^{ij}$$

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Possible values of \mathcal{N} • Chiral, vector super-multiplet $\mathcal{N} = 1$

• Other possibilities with closed representation $\mathcal{N}=2,4$

• $\mathcal{N} > 8$ requires spin larger than 2

Spin half representation of Lorentz group

• Two spin half representation:

$$\psi_L \to (1 - i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\sigma}/2 - \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\sigma}/2)\psi_L$$
$$\psi_R \to (1 - i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\sigma}/2 + \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\sigma}/2)\psi_R$$

A Lorentz invariant:

$$\psi_{1L}^T c \psi_{2L}$$

 $-c\psi^*_L$ transforms like right-handed

$$\begin{split} \Psi &= \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1L} \\ \psi_{2R} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1L} \\ -c\psi_{2L}^* \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathcal{L} &= \overline{\Psi}i\gamma \cdot \partial\Psi - M\overline{\Psi}\Psi \\ &= \psi_{1L}^{\dagger}i\overline{\sigma} \cdot \partial\psi_{1L} + \psi_{2L}^{\dagger}i\overline{\sigma} \cdot \partial\psi_{2L} \\ -(m\psi_{1L}^T c\psi_{2L} - m^*\psi_{1L}^{\dagger}c\psi_{2L}^*) \end{split}$$

with m = M.
$$\begin{split} \gamma^m &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^m \\ \overline{\sigma}^m & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

 $\psi_{1L}^T c \psi_{2L} = \psi_{2L}^T c \psi_{1L}$ $(\psi_{1L}^T c \psi_{2L})^* = \psi_{2L}^\dagger (-c) \psi_{1L}^* = -\psi_{1L}^\dagger c \psi_{2L}^*$

$$\mathcal{L} = \psi_k^{\dagger} i \overline{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_k - (m_{jk} \psi_j^T c \psi_k - m_{jk}^* \psi_j^{\dagger} c \psi_k^*)$$

$$m_{jk} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ m & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{gives a Dirac fermion}$$

 $m_{jk} = m \delta_{jk}$ gives a Majorana fermion

$$\label{eq:algebra} \begin{split} \{Q_{\alpha},Q_{\beta}^{\dagger}\} = 2\sigma^m_{\alpha\beta}P_m \end{split}$$

 $[\delta_{\xi}, \delta_{\eta}] = 2i[\xi^{\dagger}\overline{\sigma}^{m}\eta - \eta^{\dagger}\overline{\sigma}^{m}\xi]\partial_{m}$

Chiral supermultiplet

$$\begin{split} \delta_{\xi}\phi &= \sqrt{2}\xi^{T}c\psi\\ \delta_{\xi}\psi &= \sqrt{2}i\sigma^{n}c\xi^{*}\partial_{n}\phi + \sqrt{2}\xi F\\ \delta_{\xi}F &= -\sqrt{2}i\xi^{\dagger}\overline{\sigma}^{m}\partial_{m}\psi \end{split}$$

Consistent Lagrangian?? Consistent transformation??

$$\begin{split} [\delta_{\xi}, \delta_{\eta}]\phi &= -\delta_{\xi}(\sqrt{2}\eta^{T}c\psi) - (\xi \leftrightarrow \eta) \\ &= \sqrt{2}\eta^{T}\sqrt{2}i\sigma^{n}c\xi^{*}\partial_{n}\phi - (\xi \leftrightarrow \eta) \\ &= 2i\eta^{T}c\sigma^{n}c\xi^{*}\partial_{n}\phi - (\xi \leftrightarrow \eta) \\ &= 2i[\xi^{\dagger}\overline{\sigma}^{n}\eta - \eta^{\dagger}\overline{\sigma}^{n}\xi] \partial_{n}\phi \end{split}$$

 We must check if the following is invariant up to a total derivative:

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial^m \phi^* \partial_m \phi + \psi^\dagger i \overline{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi + F^* F$$

• An analytical function $W(\phi)$



 $\mathcal{L}_W = F_k \frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi_k} - \frac{1}{2} \psi_j^T c \psi_k \frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi_j \partial \phi_k}$

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial^m \phi_k^* \partial_m \phi_k + \psi_k^\dagger i \overline{\sigma}^m \partial_m \psi_k + F_k^* F_k + (\mathcal{L}_W + h.c.)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_W = F_k \frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi_k} - \frac{1}{2} \psi_j^T c \psi_k \frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi_j \partial \phi_k}$$

Eliminating Auxiliary F



Notice the sign



Vacuum energy vanishes if and only if $O(10) = O^{\dagger}(0) = O$

$$Q_{\alpha} \left| 0 \right\rangle = Q_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \left| 0 \right\rangle = 0$$

$$\langle 0 | [\xi^T c Q, \psi_k] | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \sqrt{2} i \sigma^n \xi^* \partial_n \phi_k + \xi F_k | 0 \rangle$$

= $\xi \langle 0 | F_k | 0 \rangle$

Unbroken SUSY implies

An example
$$W = \frac{m}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{3}\phi^3$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial^m \phi^* \partial_m \phi + \psi^{\dagger} i \overline{\sigma}^m \partial_m \psi - |m\phi + \lambda \phi^2|^2 - \frac{1}{2} (m + 2\lambda \phi) \psi^T c \psi + \frac{1}{2} (m + 2\lambda \phi)^* \psi^{\dagger} c \psi^*$$

Radiative correction to scalar mass

Renormalization of other terms from super-potential

Non-renormalization theorem

- Super-potential does not get renormalized!
 (As far as SUSY is exact!)
- However, field strength does

$$\psi \not \longrightarrow \psi = i\delta Z\overline{\sigma} \cdot p \quad \phi \not \longrightarrow \phi = -i\delta Z p^2$$

• We should then renormalize the fields.

 $(x^{\mu}, \theta_{\alpha}, \overline{\theta}_{\alpha})$

Super-field $\Phi(x, \theta, \overline{\theta})$

How can we define susy transformation?

Simplest guess:

$$\theta \to \theta + \xi$$

But does not work $\{\delta_{\xi}, \delta_{\eta}\} = 0$

Supersymmetry transformation

$$\delta_{\xi}\Phi = \mathcal{Q}_{\xi}\Phi$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\xi} = \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - i\overline{\theta}\overline{\sigma}^{m}\partial_{m}\right)\xi + \xi^{\dagger}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\theta}} + i\overline{\sigma}^{m}\theta\partial_{m}\right)$$

$$[\mathcal{Q}_{\xi}, \mathcal{Q}_{\eta}] = -2i(\xi^{\dagger}\overline{\sigma}^{m}\eta - \eta^{\dagger}\overline{\sigma}^{m}\xi) \ \partial_{m}$$

• Let us define

$$D_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{\alpha}} - i(\overline{\theta}\sigma^{m})_{\alpha}\partial_{m}$$
and

$$\overline{D}_{\alpha} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{\theta}_{\alpha}} + i(\sigma^{m}\theta)_{\alpha}\partial_{m}$$

$$[D_{\alpha}, Q_{\xi}] = [\overline{D}_{\alpha}, Q_{\xi}] =$$

Definition of chiral superfield

$$\overline{D}_{\alpha}\Phi = 0$$

$$\bar{D}_{\alpha}(\Phi + \delta_{\xi}\Phi) = 0$$

Chiral superfield

$$\Phi(x,\theta,\overline{\theta}) = \Phi(x+i\overline{\theta}\overline{\sigma}^m\theta,\theta)$$

Taylor expansion:

 $\Phi(x,\theta) = \phi(x) + \sqrt{2}\theta^T c\psi(x) + \theta^T c\theta F(x)$

$$\int d^2\theta \ 1 = \int d^2\theta \ \theta_{\alpha} = 0 \qquad \int d^2\theta \theta^T c\theta$$

$$\int d^2\theta \ \Phi(x,\theta) = F(x)$$

$$\int d^2\theta \ W(\Phi) = F \frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi} - \frac{1}{2} \psi^T c \psi \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \phi^2}$$

$$\int d^2\theta d^2\overline{\theta} \ \Phi^{\dagger}\Phi = \partial^m \phi^* \partial_m \phi + \psi^{\dagger} i \overline{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi + F^* F$$

Lagrangian

$$\int d^4\theta \, K(\Phi, \overline{\Phi}) \, + \, \int d^2\theta \, W(\Phi) \, + \, \int d^2\overline{\theta} \, W(\overline{\Phi})$$

Kahler potetial

Feynman rules in superspace

Radiative correction

 $\int d^4\theta \ X(\Phi, \overline{\Phi})$

Non-renormalizability of the superpotential