



Revisiting Black Hole Temperature in Horndeski Gravity

Based on: [Physics Letters B 812 \(2021\) 136002 \(arXiv:2005.12985\)](#)

K. Hajian, S. Liberati, M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, M.H. Vahidinia

Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences (IASBS)
and
Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM)

IRCHEP 1400, November 2021

Standard Picture of Entropy and Temperature

- Consider (a black hole with) a Killing horizon “H” that is generated by ξ_H .

Surface Gravity:

$$\xi_H \cdot \nabla \xi_H^\mu = -\kappa \xi_H^\nu$$

(Hawking-)Unruh Temperature:

$$T_0 = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}$$

- If this is a solution to theory with Lagrangian \mathcal{L} the entropy is given by

$$S = -2\pi \int_H \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}} \hat{\epsilon}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{\epsilon}_{\mu\nu}$$

- They satisfy the 1st Law of black hole thermodynamics e.g.

$$\delta M = T_0 \delta S - \Omega_H \cdot \delta J$$

- It works in generic situations.

A counterexample

Black hole in Horndeski: Wald entropy + Hawking temperature fail!

- Consider following theory that belongs to Horndeski class

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \left(R - F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + 2\gamma G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi \right)$$

- It admits a black hole solution

$$ds^2 = -h(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2),$$

$$h = 1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{q^2}{r^2} - \frac{q^4}{12r^4}, \quad f = \frac{4r^4 h}{(2r^2 - q^2)^2} \quad A = \left(\frac{q}{r} - \frac{q^3}{6r^3} \right) dt, \quad \phi'(r) = \sqrt{\frac{-q^2}{2\gamma r^2 f}}.$$

- Standard argument:

$$M = \frac{m}{G_N}, \quad Q = \frac{q}{G_N}, \quad S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{\pi r_H^2}{G_N}$$
$$T_0 = \frac{2r_H^2 - q^2}{8\pi r_H^3}, \quad \Phi_H = \frac{q}{r_H} - \frac{q^3}{6r_H^3}$$

- But the 1st law does Not hold $\delta M \neq T_0 \delta S + \Phi_H \delta Q!$ [Feng-Liu-Lu-Pope '16]

Resolutions:

- Adding extra terms to first law! [Feng-Liu-Lu-Pope '16]
It is a bit ad-hoc!

- Revising the Wald's entropy formula!
But you may check entropy using other methods!

Our observation/suggestion: **Revising the Temperature!**

- In Horndeski theories gravitons **speed of graviton** c_g and photon $c = 1$ are different.
- So, gravitons experience an **effective metric** and surface gravity $c_g \kappa$.
- By translating κc_g in units of original metric one may obtain the true black hole temperature

$$T_{\text{BH}} = \alpha c_g^2 T_0$$

- αc_g^2 depends on **solution** as well as **theory!**

Outline

- Wald entropy and ambiguities
- Entropy in solution phase space method
- Review of Horndeski Gravity
- Effective metric and new proposal for temperature
- Examples

Lagrangians, Noether currents and charges

- Consider a diff. inv. theory with Lagrangian $\mathbf{L} = \star\mathcal{L}$ and dynamical fields $\Phi = (g_{\mu\nu}, \phi, \dots)$ in n -dim.

The first variation:
$$\delta\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{E}\delta\Phi + d\Theta(\Phi, \delta\Phi)$$

- Vector ξ^μ generates a diff. $\delta_\xi\Phi = \mathcal{L}_\xi\Phi$. It implies a **Noether current** $(n-1)$ -form \mathbf{J}

$$\mathbf{J}_\xi = \Theta(\delta_\xi\Phi) - \xi \cdot \mathbf{L} \implies d\mathbf{J}_\xi = -\mathbf{E} \delta_\xi\Phi$$

- \mathbf{J} is conserved on-shell: $\mathbf{E} = 0 \implies d\mathbf{J} = 0$.
- So at least locally $\mathbf{J} = d\mathbf{Q}$ one may define a global **Noether charge** $(n-2)$ -form \mathbf{Q} in terms of local field Φ and ξ [Wald '90, Iyer-Wald '94]

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{W}_\mu(\Phi)\xi^\mu + \mathbf{X}^{\mu\nu}(\Phi)\nabla_{[\mu}\xi_{\nu]} + \mathbf{Y}(\Phi, \delta_\xi\Phi) + d\mathbf{Z}(\Phi, \xi)$$

$$(\mathbf{X}^{\mu\nu})_{\mu_3\dots\mu_n} = -\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu_3\dots\mu_n}$$

- But definition of \mathbf{J} and \mathbf{Q} are ambiguous.

Noether-Wald charge and ambiguities

- There are **3 sources of ambiguity** in \mathbf{Q} by adding exact forms to \mathbf{Q} , $\mathbf{\Theta}$ or \mathbf{L} :

- ★ $\mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} + d\mathbf{Z}$

- ★
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{\Theta} \rightarrow \mathbf{\Theta} + d\mathbf{Y}(\phi, \delta\phi) \\ \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{L} + d\boldsymbol{\mu} \end{cases} \implies \mathbf{J} \rightarrow \mathbf{J} + d(\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}) + d\mathbf{Y}$$

- $\mathbf{J} = d\mathbf{Q}$ implies the most general form of **ambiguous Noether-Wald charge** [Iyer-Wald '94]

$$\mathbf{Q} = \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot (\mathbf{W}(\Phi) + \boldsymbol{\mu}) + \mathbf{X}^{\mu\nu}(\Phi) \nabla_{[\mu} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\nu]} + \mathbf{Y}(\Phi, \delta_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \Phi) + d\mathbf{Z}(\Phi, \boldsymbol{\xi})$$

- \mathbf{Y} and \mathbf{Z} are linear in $\delta_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \Phi$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}$.
- Note that $\mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{L} + d\boldsymbol{\mu}$ also changes $\mathbf{X}^{\mu\nu}$ which is given the Wald entropy.

Wald entropy formula

- Let's consider a stationary black hole with a bifurcate Killing horizon. Where the Killing field is

$$\xi_H = \partial_t + \Omega_H \cdot \partial_\varphi$$

- Based on covariant phase space formalism, Wald argues for a charge associated to ξ_H

$$\delta \int_H \mathbf{Q}[\xi_H] = \delta M - \Omega_H \cdot \delta J \equiv T_{\text{BH}} \delta S$$

M (mass) and J (angular momenta) are charges associated to ∂_t and ∂_φ and defined at infinity.

- Since $\xi_H|_H = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_H} \Phi = 0$, he shows \mathbf{W} , \mathbf{Y} and \mathbf{Z} do not contribute to $\mathbf{Q}[\xi_H]$.
Using $\nabla_\mu \xi_{H\nu}|_H = \kappa \epsilon_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\delta \int_H \mathbf{Q}[\xi_H] = \delta \int_H \mathbf{X}^{\mu\nu}(\Phi) \nabla_{[\mu} \xi_{\nu]} = \kappa \delta \int_H \mathbf{X}^{\mu\nu}(\Phi) \epsilon_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \delta S$$

$$S \equiv 2\pi \int_H \mathbf{X}^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\mu\nu}, \quad T_{\text{BH}} \equiv \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}$$

$\epsilon_{\mu\nu}$ is the binormal to H , $\epsilon_{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} = -2$

Wald entropy and its ambiguities

- There is a loophole: what happens when Φ or $d\Phi$ is singular on the horizon?!
- Is it clear namely $\xi_H \cdot \mathbf{W}(\Phi) = 0$ or μ does not contribute to the entropy or $Q[\xi_H]$?
- In this case, one may doubt about expressions for entropy S or black hole temperature T_{BH}

$$S \stackrel{?}{\equiv} 2\pi \int_{\text{H}} \mathbf{X}^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{or} \quad T_{\text{BH}} \stackrel{?}{\equiv} \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}$$

- Naturally, one may take $\frac{\kappa}{2\pi}$ as the black hole temperature (based on Hawking's argument) and so looking for an alternative definition for entropy.
- Note that the Hawking's calculation is almost depends on the geometry and not the details of theory. Hence, looking for an alternative definition for entropy seems reasonable natural.
- However, we think this is not the whole story!
- As we will argue in certain cases one ought to revise definition of black hole temperature.

Ambiguity of Wald entropy in Horndeski: An explicit example

- Consider following theory that belongs to Horndeski class

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \left(R - F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + 2\gamma \underbrace{G^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho \phi \partial_\sigma \phi}_{R^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho \phi \partial_\sigma \phi - \frac{1}{2} R (\partial\phi)^2} \right)$$

$$R^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho \phi \partial_\sigma \phi = -(\nabla_\rho \nabla_\sigma \phi)^2 + (\square\phi)^2 + \nabla_\mu \mathcal{W}^\mu, \quad \mathcal{W}^\mu = (\nabla_\nu \phi \nabla^\nu \nabla^\mu \phi - \square\phi \nabla^\mu \phi)$$

- The explicit dependence of Lagrangian to $R_{\mu\nu}$ can be removed in favor of derivatives of ϕ .
- Note that $\nabla_\mu \mathcal{W}^\mu$ is same as $d\mu$.
- It changes Wald entropy

$$(\mathbf{X}^{\mu\nu})_{\mu_3 \dots \mu_n} \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}^{\mu\nu})_{\mu_3 \dots \mu_n} + \lambda \frac{\delta}{\delta R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}} R^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho \phi \partial_\sigma \phi \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu_3 \dots \mu_n}.$$

where λ is an arbitrary number and last term is not zero!

Using $\nabla_{[\mu}\xi_{\nu]} = 2\kappa\epsilon_{\mu\nu}$ and isometry condition $\delta_\xi\phi = \xi_\mu\nabla^\mu\phi = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta(R^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho\phi\partial_\sigma\phi)}{\delta R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}}\epsilon_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{\delta(R^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho\phi\partial_\sigma\phi)}{\delta R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}}\frac{\nabla_{[\mu}\xi_{\nu]}}{\kappa} \\ &= \frac{-1}{\kappa}\nabla^\alpha(\nabla^\nu\phi)\nabla^\beta\phi\xi_\nu \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

For the over example the pull-back of the result to the bifurcation surface of horizon is non-zero

$$\oint_{\mathbb{H}} \frac{-\sqrt{-g}d\theta d\varphi}{\kappa}\nabla^t(\nabla^\nu\phi)\nabla^r\phi\xi_{\mathbb{H}\nu} = \frac{-\pi q^2}{\gamma}. \quad (2)$$

Therefore, there is a **non-vanishing ambiguity in the Wald entropy**.

Solution phase space method (SPSM):

Entropy without ambiguity (I)

- There are a couple of **alternative to obtain black hole entropy** without using the Wald formula e.g. Euclidean on-shell action, SPSM, a certain limit of holographic entanglement entropy, etc.
- In the case of stationary black hole, one can show the Killing field ξ_H generates the variation of entropy [Ashtekar-Bombellim-Koul-Reula '87, Lee-Wald '90, Iyer-Wald '94, Hajian-Sheikh Jabbari'16]

$$\delta S_{\text{BH}} := \frac{1}{T_{\text{BH}}} \int_H \delta Q_{\xi_H} - \xi_H \cdot \Theta(\delta\Phi, \bar{\Phi})$$

- Note, here $1/T_{\text{BH}}$ plays role of **integrating factor** and $S_{\text{BH}} = \int \delta S_{\text{BH}}$
- It is similar to standard thermodynamics where temperature is integrating factor for heat (Clausius eq.).

Solution phase space method (SPSM): Entropy without ambiguity (II)

- So an appropriate T_{BH} should lead to an integrable expression for δS_{BH} .
- This method provides an expression for S_{BH} without ambiguity.
- Now the main question is how to fix T_{BH} .
- In usual situations, the standard Hawking temperature $T_{\text{BH}} = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} = T_0$ is fine.
- One may apply this formula for the mentioned example with T_0 but δS_{BH} is not integrable and so the Hawking Temperature fails! as $T_{\text{BH}} \neq T_0$
- In particular, when the speed of gravitons and photons are different as happens in Horndeski Gravity.

Review of Horndeski Gravity

- A class of scalar-tensor theories with second order field equation (a generalization for Lovelock's theorem)

$$S_{\text{Horn.}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_{\text{N}}} \int d^n x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Horn.}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Horn.}} = & \mathcal{G}_2(\phi, \mathcal{X}) - \mathcal{G}_3(\phi, \mathcal{X}) \square\phi + \mathcal{G}_4(\phi, \mathcal{X}) R + \mathcal{G}'_4(\phi, \mathcal{X}) \left((\square\phi)^2 - (\partial_{\mu\nu}\phi)^2 \right) \\ & - \mathcal{G}_5(\phi, \mathcal{X}) G^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu\nu}\phi - \frac{\mathcal{G}'_5(\phi, \mathcal{X})}{6} \left((\square\phi)^3 + 2(\partial_{\mu\nu}\phi)^3 - 3\square\phi(\partial_{\mu\nu}\phi)^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

- R is Ricci scalar and $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor, $\mathcal{G}'_i = d\mathcal{G}_i/d\mathcal{X}$

$$\partial_{\mu\nu}\phi \equiv \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi \quad \square\phi \equiv g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu\nu}\phi \quad \mathcal{X} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi$$

We restrict ourselves to a class with $\mathcal{G}_4(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{G}_5(\phi)$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Horn.}} = \mathcal{G}_2 + (\mathcal{G} - \mathcal{G}'\mathcal{X})R + \mathcal{G}' G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi$$

where $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_4 + \mathcal{X} d\mathcal{G}_5/d\phi$, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_2 = \mathcal{G}_2 + 2d^3\mathcal{G}_5/d\phi^3$, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_3 = \mathcal{G}_3 + 3d^2\mathcal{G}_5/d\phi^2$.

Speed of graviton in Horndeski gravity

- To find speed of graviton c_g , one should study linearized eom around a given background.
- But here, we use “ $\phi + 3$ ” decomposition as a shortcut to obtain c_g

$$g_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} + \sigma \phi_\mu \phi_\nu, \quad \phi_\mu := \frac{\partial_\mu \phi}{|\partial\phi|}, \quad \sigma = \frac{\phi_\mu \phi^\mu}{|\phi_\mu \phi^\mu|} = \pm 1$$

- σ is -1 for cosmological backgrounds and $+1$ for black holes.
- and $h_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric along constant ϕ surface, $h_{\mu\nu} \phi^\nu = 0$.
- Similar to $1 + 3$ one may obtain

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{G}_2 + \mathcal{G} \text{}^{(3)}R + (\mathcal{G} - 2\mathcal{X}\mathcal{G}') (K_{\mu\nu} K^{\mu\nu} - K^2) \\ + 2\sqrt{-2\mathcal{X}\mathcal{G}}_{,\phi} K + \text{total derivative terms}$$

- For a typical black hole solution ϕ_μ is along the radial direction and normal to horizon. So the time is in 3 part $h_{\mu\nu}$. It allows to read speed of graviton as

$$c_g^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathcal{G} - 2\mathcal{X}\mathcal{G}'}{\mathcal{G}} & \text{for gravitons moving along } \phi_\mu \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{G}} = 1 & \text{for gravitons moving normal to } \phi_\mu \end{cases}$$

Effective Metric for Gravitons (EMG) and effective surface gravity

- One may show that graviton experiences an effective metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. It is related to the original metric via a “disformal map”

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = (\mathcal{G} - 2\mathcal{X}\mathcal{G}')g_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{G}'\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi$$

- This metric also admits a Killing horizon and the associate surface gravity (as seen by gravitons) is given by $c_g\kappa$.

$$d\xi_H = 2\kappa c_g \tilde{\epsilon} \Big|_H, \quad \tilde{\epsilon}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\epsilon}^{\mu\nu} = -2 \quad c_g^2 = \frac{\mathcal{G} - 2\mathcal{X}\mathcal{G}'}{\mathcal{G}}$$

- But κc_g is defined in terms of new metric units. To translate it we can use the relation between binomials

$$\tilde{\epsilon} = \sqrt{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{G} - 2\mathcal{X}\mathcal{G}')} \epsilon \quad \implies \quad d\xi_H = 2\kappa(\mathcal{G} - 2\mathcal{X}\mathcal{G}')\epsilon,$$

- So we claim that the temperature of black hole is given by

$$T_{\text{BH}} = T_{\text{graviton}} = (\mathcal{G} - 2\mathcal{X}\mathcal{G}')T_0,$$

- It is the correct integrating factor for δS_{BH} .

Example 1: Solution

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \left(R - F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + 2\gamma G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi \right)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_2 = 0, \mathcal{G}_4 = 1, \mathcal{G}_5 = 2\gamma\phi, \mathcal{G} = 1 + 2\gamma\mathcal{X}$$

$$ds^2 = -h(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2),$$

$$h = 1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{q^2}{r^2} - \frac{q^4}{12r^4}, \quad f = \frac{4r^4 h}{(2r^2 - q^2)^2}.$$

$$A = \left(\frac{q}{r} - \frac{q^3}{6r^3} \right) dt, \quad \phi'(r) = \sqrt{\frac{-q^2}{2\gamma r^2 f}}$$

Example 1: Thermodynamics

Standard argument fails:

$$M = \frac{m}{G_N}, \quad Q = \frac{q}{G_N}, \quad S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{\pi r_H^2}{G_N}$$

$$T_0 = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} = \frac{2r_H^2 - q^2}{8\pi r_H^3}, \quad \Phi_H = \frac{q}{r_H} - \frac{q^3}{6r_H^3}$$

and the first law does Not hold!

$$\delta S \neq \frac{1}{T_0}(\delta M - \Phi_H \delta Q)$$

Our proposal works:

$$T_{\text{BH}} = (\mathcal{G} - 2\mathcal{X}\mathcal{G}')\Big|_H T_0 = \left(1 - \frac{q^2}{2r_H^2}\right) T_0 = \frac{1}{4\pi r_H} \left(1 - \frac{q^2}{2r_H^2}\right)^2$$

$$\delta S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{1}{T_{\text{BH}}}(\delta M - \Phi_H \delta Q) \quad \xrightarrow{S=f\delta S} \quad S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{\pi r_H^2}{G_N}$$

Example II

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_{\text{N}}} \left(R - 2\Lambda - 2(\alpha g^{\mu\nu} - \gamma G^{\mu\nu}) \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi \right)$$

$$\mathcal{G} = 1 + 2\gamma\mathcal{X}, \quad \mathcal{G}_2 = 4\alpha\mathcal{X} - 2\Lambda, \quad \gamma < 0$$

$$ds^2 = -h dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{h} + r^2 \left(d\varphi - \frac{j}{r^2} dt \right)^2,$$

$$h = -m + \frac{\alpha r^2}{\gamma} + \frac{j^2}{r^2}, \quad \phi'(r) = \sqrt{\frac{-(\alpha + \gamma\Lambda)}{2\alpha\gamma h}}$$

$$M = \frac{(\alpha - \Lambda\gamma)m}{16\alpha G_{\text{N}}}, \quad J = \frac{(\alpha - \Lambda\gamma)j}{8\alpha G_{\text{N}}}, \quad S_{\text{BH}} = 2\pi r_{\text{H}} / (4G_{\text{N}})$$

$$\kappa_{\pm} = \frac{\alpha(r_{\pm}^2 - r_{\mp}^2)}{\gamma r_{\pm}}, \quad \Omega_{\pm} = \frac{j}{r_{\pm}^2},$$

$$T_{\text{BH}} = \left(\frac{\alpha - \Lambda\gamma}{2\alpha} \right) T_0,$$

$$\delta S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{1}{T_{\text{BH}}} (\delta M - \Omega_{\text{H}} \delta J)$$

Example III

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \left((1 + \beta\sqrt{-\mathcal{X}})R - 2\Lambda + \eta\mathcal{X} - \frac{\beta}{2\sqrt{-\mathcal{X}}} ((\square\phi)^2 - (\partial_{\mu\nu}\phi)^2) \right) \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{G} = 1 + 2\beta\sqrt{-\mathcal{X}}$$

$$ds^2 = -h(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2),$$

$$h = f = 1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\beta^2}{2\eta r^2} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3}, \quad d\phi = \frac{\sqrt{2}\beta}{\eta r^2 \sqrt{h}} dr.$$

For this theory $\mathcal{G} - 2\mathcal{G}'\mathcal{X} = 1$ hence $T_{BH} = T_0$ and first law $T_{BH}\delta S_{BH} = \delta M$ is satisfied for the following standard charges:

$$M = \frac{m}{G_N}, \quad \kappa = \frac{\beta^2 + 2\eta(r_H^2 - \Lambda r_H^4)}{4\eta r_H^3}, \quad S_{BH} = \pi r_H^2 / G_N \quad (4)$$

Summary

- Wald's entropy formula suffers from some ambiguities in certain cases.
- One may obtain variation of entropy without any ambiguity using solution phase space method.
- As an example it does not lead to the correct first law in Horndeski theories.
- For this family of theories speeds of gravitons and photons are different.
- In this case, one should consider a modified temperature for black hole than can be obtained from the effective metric for graviton.
- This is true integrating factor for charge associated to the generator of Killing horizon.
- One can argue that our result is consistent with 2nd law e.g. by adding a box of radiation.
- We expect this formalism works in more general situation where $c \neq c_g$.
- It may help us to fix ambiguities in Wald entropy.

Thank you for your attention!