

Aspects of N=2 Gauge Theories from String Theory

School of Physics, IPM - Teheran 25 - 05 - 2016

Based on work with

Ahmad Zein Assi

I. Antoniadis I. Florakis S. Hohenegger K. S. Narain M. Moskovic

Plan

Introduction

- The Refinement of the Topological String
 - Generelised F-terms and amplitudes
 - Emergence of topological properties
- Mass deformations of N=4 in String Theory
 - Freely-acting orbifolds and adjoint masses
 - Recovering Nekrasov from the string
- On the Dual Gravitational Backgrounds
 - From the pure N=4 to its deformations
- Conclusions and Outlook

Plan

Introduction

The Refinement of the Topological String – Generelised F-terms and amplitudes – Emergence of topological properties

- Mass deformations of N=4 in String Theory
 - Freely-acting orbifolds and adjoint masses
 - Recovering Nekrasov from the string
- On the Dual Gravitational Backgrounds
 - From the pure N=4 to its deformations
- Conclusions and Outlook

Introduction & Motivations

- Seiberg-Witten theory : toy model for QCD
 - Explicitly solvable => prepotential of SYM
 - Including gravity and Ω -deformation Ferrara, Harvey, Strominger, Vafa (95')
 - Losev, Nekrasov, Shatashvili (98')

Seiberg, Witten (94')

- String theory interpretation
 - Gravitational couplings, BPS amplitudes
 - String dualities

Bershadsky, Cecotti, Ooguri, Vafa (93')

Antoniadis, Gava, Narain, Taylor (93')

- Topological string theory
 - Counting indices (BPS states, wall-crossing, etc.)
 - Matrix models
 - Non-perturbative physics

Klemm, Labastida, Llatas, Mariño, Ooguri, Vafa, Witten, etc.

String amplitudes, BPS indices

 $F_{\mu\nu}, Z_k, B_{\mu\nu}$

 Ω, m, Θ, β

g_S, β, ...?

N=2 gauge theories

Topological String Theory

Plan

Introduction

- The Refinement of the Topological String
 - Generelised F-terms and amplitudes
 - Emergence of topological properties
- Mass deformations of N=4 in String Theory
 - Freely-acting orbifolds and adjoint masses
 - Recovering Nekrasov from the string
- On the Dual Gravitational Backgrounds
 - From the pure N=4 to its deformations
- Conclusions and Outlook

The Ω -deformed N=2 gauge theory

Nekrasov, Okounkov (04')

Dimensionally reduce N=1 gauge theory in 6D

 $\mathcal{N} = 1, \ 6D \xrightarrow{\text{Dimensional Reduction}} \mathcal{N} = 2, \ 4D \ \text{SYM}$

+ R-symmetry rotation

 $ds^2 = Adz d\bar{z} + g_{IJ} \left(dx^I + V^I dz + \bar{V}^I d\bar{z} \right) \left(dx^J + V^J dz + \bar{V}^J d\bar{z} \right)$

The underlying twisted N=2 gauge theory

 Using localisation, calculate the partition function (perturbative AND non-perturbative) of this theory

 $Z_{\text{Nek}} = \text{Tr}(-)^{F} e^{-2\epsilon_{-}J_{-}^{3} - 2\epsilon_{+}(J_{+}^{3} + J_{R}^{3})} e^{-\beta H}$

Nekrasov (02')

- The Ω -background regularises the instanton moduli space divergence $V_{\Omega} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \xrightarrow[\epsilon_{1,2} \to 0]{} \infty$
- Leading term in the Ω-background expansion gives the SW prepotential
- Higher order terms : TST partition function

$$\sum_{g=0}^{\infty} g_s^{2g-2} F_g \Big|_{\text{field theory}} = \log Z_{\text{Nek}}(\epsilon_+ = 0, \epsilon_- = g_s)$$

TST partition function as higher-derivative F-terms

 This computes ¹/₂ - BPS F-terms in the effective action involving the N=2 supergravity multiplet :

$$W^{ij}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} T_{\mu\nu} - R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \theta^i \sigma^{\lambda\rho} \theta^j + \cdots$$

Internal SU(2)_R

SUSY completion

$$\int d^4x d^4\theta F_g(t_a) (W^2)^g = \int d^4x F_g(t_a) (T^2)^{g-1} R^2 + \cdots$$

The coupling depends *only* on holomorphic vector multiplets

Toward a Refinement of the Topological String

Is there a one-parameter extension of the TST partition function capturing the second parameter (ϵ_{+}) of the Ω -background?

2. What is the corresponding coupling in the effective action?

1.

Generalised F-terms

- The coupling can be realised through the insertion of the 'chiral projection' of anti-chiral superfields : $\mathcal{I}_{g,n} = \int d^4x \int d^4\theta \,\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{g,n}(X) \, (W^{ij}_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}_{ij})^g \Upsilon^n$ $\sim \int d^4x \, \mathcal{F}_{g,n}(X, X^{\dagger}) \, R^2_{(-)} [F^G_{(-)}]^{2g-2} \, [F_{(+)}]^{2n}$ $\Upsilon := \Pi \frac{h(\hat{X}^{I}, (\hat{X}^{I})^{\dagger})}{(X^{0})^{2}} \longrightarrow \text{Vector superfield}$ $\Pi := (\epsilon_{ij} \bar{D}^i \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} \bar{D}^j)^2$
- Possible mixing between chiral and anti-chiral superfields in a supersymmetric fashion

Proposal

Antoniadis, Florakis, Hohenegger, Narain, AZA (13')

- Identify the anti-chiral superfield as the \bar{T} -vector multiplet in Heterotic on K3 x T²

T : Kähler modulus of T²

General strategy

$$F_{g,n} = \left\langle R_{-}^2 \, V_{G,-}^{2g-2} \, V_{\bar{T},-}^{2n} \right\rangle_{1-\text{loop,Het}}$$

Generating function trick

$$\mathcal{F}(\epsilon_{-},\epsilon_{+}) = \sum_{g,n} \frac{\epsilon_{-}^{2g} \epsilon_{+}^{2n}}{g!^2 n!^2} F_{g,n} = \left\langle e^{-S_{\text{def}}(\epsilon_{-},\epsilon_{+})} \right\rangle_{1-\text{loop,Het}}$$

- Exactness of the sigma-model: Gaussian deformation
- Can be interpreted as a background

Structure of the deformation

 $S_{\rm def}^{\rm bos} = \tilde{\epsilon}_{-} \int d^2 z \left(Z^1 \bar{\partial} Z^2 + \bar{Z}^2 \bar{\partial} \bar{Z}^1 \right) + \check{\epsilon}_{+} \int d^2 z \left(Z^1 \partial \bar{Z}^2 + Z^2 \partial \bar{Z}^1 \right)$ $\epsilon_+ \langle \bar{\partial} X \rangle$ $\epsilon_{-} \langle \partial X \rangle$ SU(2) current SU(2), current

$$S_{\rm ferm}^{\rm def} = \check{\epsilon}_+ \int d^2 z (\chi^4 \chi^5 + {\rm c.c.})$$
 Effective R-symmetry current

- This is the structure of the N=2 gauge theory partition function in the Ω-background
- Here we work on a FLAT background

Field theory limit

$$\mathcal{F}(\epsilon_{-},\epsilon_{+}) \sim (\epsilon_{-}^{2}-\epsilon_{+}^{2}) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \frac{-2\cos(2\epsilon_{+}t)}{\sin(\epsilon_{-}-\epsilon_{+})t \sin(\epsilon_{-}+\epsilon_{+})t} e^{-2\epsilon_{+}t} \frac{1}{2} \frac$$

 $-\mu t$

The would-be massless BPS states have mass

$$\mu \sim \sqrt{(T - \bar{T})(U - \bar{U}) - \frac{1}{2}(\vec{Y} - \vec{\bar{Y}})^2 \bar{P}} = a_2 - \bar{U}a_1$$

- The leading singularity behaviour : $\mu^{2-2g-2n}$
- It perfectly matches the perturbative part of Nekrasov's partition function
- Not symmetric under $\varepsilon_+ \leftrightarrow \varepsilon_-$
- Only even powers in ε₊, ε₋ (required by Lorentz invariance)

The dual type I theory

- In D=10 : Heterotic ← S-duality → Type I
 SO(32)
- In D=4 (K3 x T² compactifications): weakly coupled regimes on both sides
 Antoniadis, Partouche, Taylor (98')
- Mapping of universal multiplets

Heterotic $S = \alpha + i e^{-2\phi_4}$ $T = B_{45} + i G^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $U = (G_{45} + i G^{\frac{1}{2}})/G_{44}$

Type I

$$S = \alpha + i G^{\frac{1}{4}} V^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\phi_4}$$

$$S' = B_{45} + i G^{\frac{1}{4}} V^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\phi_4}$$

$$U = (G_{45} + i G^{\frac{1}{2}})/G_{44}$$

Polchinski, Witten (98')

Yang-Mills From Open Strings

- Classical action \rightarrow tree-level (disk)
- Gauge theory : $\alpha' \rightarrow o$ limit
- Calculate all disk diagrams with open string states and the take field theory limit

$\Omega\text{-}Deformed$ ADHM Action

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_{\text{ADHM}} &= -\text{Tr}\left\{ \left[\chi^{\dagger}, a_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\right] \left(\left[\chi, a^{\dot{\beta}\alpha}\right] + \epsilon_{-}(a\tau_{3})^{\dot{\beta}\alpha} \right) - \chi^{\dagger} \bar{\omega}_{\dot{\alpha}} \left(\omega^{\dot{\alpha}}\chi - \tilde{a}\,\omega^{\dot{\alpha}}\right) - \left(\chi\bar{\omega}_{\dot{\alpha}} - \bar{\omega}_{\dot{\alpha}}\,\tilde{a}\right)\omega^{\dot{\alpha}}\,\chi^{\dagger} \\ &+ \epsilon_{+} \left[\chi^{\dagger}, a_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\right] (\tau_{3}a)^{\dot{\beta}\alpha} - \epsilon_{+} \,\bar{\omega}_{\dot{\alpha}} \left(\tau_{3}\right)^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\,\chi^{\dagger}\,\omega^{\dot{\beta}} \right\} \end{split}$$

Deformed action used by Nekrasov to derive the instanton partition function (localisation)

Nekrasov (02')

- Action is Q-exact, where Q is the susy preserved by D5/D9 system
- Nekrasov partition function : holomorphic in the parameters (vevs, Ω-background)

Holomorphic Anomaly Equations

- BCOV holomorphic anomaly : recursion relation satisfied by the TST partition function as powerful tool for non-perturbative calculations
- Bershadsky, Ceccotti, Ooguri, Vafa (93')
 Quantifies the non-decoupling of unphysical (Qexact) states in TST
- Contributions from boundary of moduli space



Holomorphic Anomaly Equations

The recursion relations

$$\partial_{\overline{i}}F_g = \frac{1}{2} C_{\overline{i}}^{jk} \left(\sum_{g'} D_j F_{g'} D_k F_{g-g'} + D_j D_k F_{g-1} \right)$$

Bershadsky, Ceccotti, Ooguri, Vafa (93')

3-point function

- Breaking of holomorphicity of F_g (predicted from SUGRA)
- Integrate the equations with appropriate boundary conditions (field theory limit, conifold, etc.)

Holomorphicity Properties of the Refined Couplings

- Explicit breaking of holomorphicity
 - Related to the compactness of the CY
- Non-compact CY for
 - R-symmetry current
 - Decoupling of hypers
 - Define generating function refined topological invariants
- Use the generic CY compactification + appropriate limit

Holomorphicity Properties of the Refined Couplings

Type II dual coupling

$$F_{g,n} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}} \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{3g-3+n} |\mu_k \cdot G^-|^2 \left(\int \bar{\phi} \right)^n \left(\stackrel{\circ}{\phi} \right)^n \right\rangle_{\text{twist}} \quad \text{hol. 3-form}$$

anti-chiral

Antoniadis, Hohenegger, Narain, Taylor (10')

- Generic case : differential equation with not just boundary terms
 Antoniadis, Florakis, Hohenegger, Narain, AZA (15')
- Required limit : $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{i}}F_{g,n} = 0$, $\mathcal{D}_{\star}F_{g,n,\bar{i}} = (n-1)\Psi_{g,n}^{(\star\star\bar{i})}$.
- The equation becomes a standard recursion $D_{\bar{i}}F_g = \frac{1}{2}C_{\bar{i}}{}^{jk} \Big(\sum_{g',n'} D_j F_{g',n'} D_k F_{g-g',n-n'} + D_j D_k F_{g-1,n}\Big)$

Holomorphicity Properties of the Refined Couplings

Explicit test in the weak-coupling limit

- Heterotic amplitude
 - Large volume limit
- Recursion relations

 $D_{\overline{i}}F_{g,n} = 2\pi i C_{\overline{i}}{}^{j} D_{j}F_{g-1,n}$

 $\bullet C_{\overline{i}}{}^{j} = C_{\overline{i}\overline{j}\overline{S}}e^{2K}G^{SS}G^{jj}$

 Coincides with the weak-coupling limit of the exact (Type II) equation

Challenges for refinement

How to realise these constraints at the CFT level

- CY should experience a severe transition
 - Local U(1) invariance should become global
 - Which one?
- Potential role of contact geometry in the underlying supergravity
 - Reeb vector plays a special role
- Is decompactification enough? If yes, in which direction?

Plan

Introduction

The Refinement of the Topological String – Generelised F-terms and amplitudes – Emergence of topological properties

- Mass deformations of N=4 in String Theory
 - Freely-acting orbifolds and adjoint masses
 - Recovering Nekrasov from the string
- On the Dual Gravitational Backgrounds
 - From the pure N=4 to its deformations
- Conclusions and Outlook

Why N=2*?

- Interpolating between N=4 and N=2
- Simplest deformation of N=4
 - Vanishing beta-function
- Typical example in the context of AGT
 Liouville theory on a one-punctured torus
- Connection to the (2,0) theory
 - M2/M5 brane configurations

Intuition from branes

- N Dp-branes \rightarrow N=4 SU(N) gauge theory
 - Massless excitations of open strings → N=4 vector multiplet
- Tilt the branes in some internal direction
 - Break N=4 \rightarrow N=2
 - Give mass to the N=2 hypermultiplet (~ angle)
- Example: NS5-branes on a circle and TN geometry
- Branes at angles ↔ magnetic fluxes

Consider freely-acting orbifolds of N=4 compactifications as a string theory realisation of N=2*

Green, Gutperle (oo')

I. Florakis, AZA (15')

The class of models

I. Florakis, AZA (15')

- Z₂ freely-acting orbifolds of heterotic on T⁶
 - Symmetric or asymmetric
 - Can be done in any string theory (we have explicit examples in type II and type I as well)
- Notation
 - 1,2,3: internal directions, 4,5: space-time
- Action of the orbifold: $g = e^{i\pi Q_L} \delta$

$$e^{i\pi Q_L}: Z_L^2 \to e^{i\pi} Z_L^2 \quad , \quad Z_L^3 \to e^{-i\pi} Z_L^3$$
$$\delta: Z^1 \to Z^1 + i\pi \sqrt{\frac{T_2}{U_2}}$$

Spectrum of the theory

Partition function:

$$Z = \frac{1}{2^2 \eta^{12} \bar{\eta}^{24}} \sum_{\substack{a,b=0,1\\H,G=0,1}} (-1)^{a+b+ab} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}^2 \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} a+H \\ b+G \end{bmatrix} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} a-H \\ b-G \end{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_{4,42} \begin{bmatrix} HH \\ CG \end{bmatrix} (\mathbb{Z},1,4 \begin{bmatrix} H \\ G \end{bmatrix} (T,U)$$

Twisted lattice partition function • SO(4) character decomposition:

Shifted Narain lattice (T² + gauge bundle)

$$\begin{aligned} O_4 &= \frac{1}{2\eta^2} (\vartheta_3^2 + \vartheta_4^2) , \qquad V_4 &= \frac{1}{2\eta^2} (\vartheta_3^2 - \vartheta_4^2) , \\ S_4 &= \frac{1}{2\eta^2} (\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2) , \qquad C_4 &= \frac{1}{2\eta^2} (\vartheta_2^2 + \vartheta_1^2) . \end{aligned}$$

Spectrum of the theory

Partition function:

$Z = \frac{1}{2^2 \eta^{12} \bar{\eta}^{24}} \sum_{\substack{a,b=0,1\\H,G=0,1}} (-1)^{a+b+ab} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} a\\b \end{bmatrix}^2 \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} a+H\\b+G \end{bmatrix} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} a-H\\b-G \end{bmatrix} Z_{6,22} \begin{bmatrix} H\\G \end{bmatrix} (T,U)$

SO(4) character decomposition:

$$O_4 = \frac{1}{2\eta^2} (\vartheta_3^2 + \vartheta_4^2) , \qquad V_4 = \frac{1}{2\eta^2} (\vartheta_3^2 - \vartheta_4^2) ,$$

$$S_4 = \frac{1}{2\eta^2} (\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2) , \qquad C_4 = \frac{1}{2\eta^2} (\vartheta_2^2 + \vartheta_1^2) .$$

Spectrum of the theory

Partition function:

$$\eta^{4}\bar{\eta}^{4}Z = (V_{4}O_{4} - S_{4}S_{4})Z_{6,22}\begin{bmatrix}0\\+\end{bmatrix} + (O_{4}V_{4} - C_{4}C_{4})Z_{6,22}\begin{bmatrix}0\\-\end{bmatrix} + (V_{4}C_{4} - S_{4}V_{4})\overline{Z}_{6,22}\begin{bmatrix}1\\+\end{bmatrix} + (O_{4}S_{4} - C_{4}O_{4})Z_{6,22}\begin{bmatrix}1\\+\end{bmatrix} + (O_{4}S_{4} - C$$

N=2 vector multiplet

Parity under the orbifold action

N=2 hypermultiplet (massive)

SO(4) character decomposition:

$$O_4 = \frac{1}{2\eta^2} (\vartheta_3^2 + \vartheta_4^2) , \qquad V_4 = \frac{1}{2\eta^2} (\vartheta_3^2 - \vartheta_4^2) ,$$
$$S_4 = \frac{1}{2\eta^2} (\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2) , \qquad C_4 = \frac{1}{2\eta^2} (\vartheta_2^2 + \vartheta_1^2) .$$

Matching N=2*

- For simplicity, I focus on the SU(2) case
 - Generalises to exceptional groups straightforwardly
 - Enhancement from T² only
 - Wilson lines break the gauge group to U(1) factors
- Enhancement point: $TU \frac{1}{2}W^2 = -1$
 - Not equivalent to T=U point!
- W[±] states: m₁=n¹=0, m₂=n²=±1, $m_{vec}^2 = \frac{|TU \frac{1}{2}W^2 + 1|^2}{T_2U_2 \frac{1}{2}W_2^2}$
- Adjoint hypermultiplet: $m_{\rm h}^2 = \frac{|1 + TU \frac{1}{2}W^2 + U|^2}{T_2U_2 \frac{1}{2}W_2^2}$

Ω-deformed N=2* partition function

- For concreteness, I focus on an asymmetric orbifold of heterotic
- Topological amplitude in this background

$$\mathcal{F}(\epsilon) = \int_{\mathcal{F}_{1}} \frac{d^{2}\tau}{\tau_{2}^{2}} \frac{G_{\text{bos}}(\epsilon)}{4\bar{\Delta}} \sum_{\substack{H,G=0,1\\(H,G)\neq(0,0)}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma,\delta=0,1\\(\gamma,\delta)\neq(1,1)}} (-1)^{G(\gamma+1)} \bar{\vartheta}[_{\delta+G}^{\gamma+H}]^{4} \Gamma_{2,18}[_{G}^{H}](T,U,W)$$

$$\Delta = \eta^{24} \qquad G_{\text{bos}}(\epsilon) = \frac{(2\pi i\epsilon)^{2}\bar{\eta}^{6}}{\bar{\vartheta}_{1}(\tilde{\epsilon})^{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi\tilde{\epsilon}^{2}}{\tau_{2}}} \tilde{\epsilon} = \frac{\tau_{2}P_{L}}{\sqrt{T_{2}U_{2} - W_{2}^{2}/2}} \epsilon$$

Ω-deformed N=2* partition function

$$\mathcal{F}(\epsilon) = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{d^2 \tau}{\tau_2^2} \frac{G_{\text{bos}}(\epsilon)}{4\bar{\Delta}} \sum_{\substack{H,G=0,1\\(H,G)\neq(0,0)}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma,\delta=0,1\\(\gamma,\delta)\neq(1,1)}} (-1)^{G(\gamma+1)} \bar{\vartheta}[^{\gamma+H}_{\delta+G}]^4 \Gamma_{2,18}[^H_G](T,U,W)$$

Field theory limit at the SU(2) point:

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi\epsilon)^2} \mathcal{F}(\epsilon)\big|_{\mathrm{F.T.}} = \sum_{k=0,\pm 1} [\gamma_{\hbar}(k\mu) - \gamma_{\hbar}(k\mu + m_{\mathrm{h}})]$$

 Perfect matching with the gauge theopy sescier mass gamma function

$$\hbar = 2i\pi\epsilon$$

Star remarks

- Mass deformation implemented directly in the background
- It works also in type I and type II
- Analyticity is guaranteed
- N=4,2 limits are crystal clear
- Equivalently: the mass deformation is topological
 - It satisfies the standard BCOV holomorphic anomaly equation
 - This property is obvious by construction
 - Not clear from the geometric point of view

N=2* vertex and instantons

- Scherk-Schwarz ↔ Freely-acting orbifolds
- Works for symmetric or asymmetric
- Example

$$e^{i\pi Q_L} : Z_L^2 \to e^{i\pi} Z_L^2 \quad , \quad Z_L^3 \to e^{-i\pi} Z_L^3$$
$$\boldsymbol{\delta} : \boldsymbol{Z^1} \to \boldsymbol{Z^1} + i\pi \sqrt[n]{\frac{T_2}{U_2}}$$

Field redefinition

$$\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{2,3} = e^{\mp \underbrace{\mathbf{Z}}^{1} \underbrace{\frac{U_{2}}{T_{2}}}_{T_{1}} Z^{1} Z^{2,3} Z^{2,3}}$$

N=2* vertex and instantons

Scherk-Schwarz ↔ Freely-acting orbifolds
More generally

$$\delta S = i \int \mathrm{d}^2 z F^a_{IJ} \left[\hat{Z}^I \overleftrightarrow{\partial} \hat{Z}^J \tilde{J}^a + J^a \, \hat{Z}^I \overleftrightarrow{\partial} \hat{Z}^J \right]$$

can be re-absorbed through

$$Z^{I} = \left(e^{F^{a}X^{a}}\right)^{I}{}_{J}\hat{Z}^{J}$$

 This leads to a freely-acting orbifold with a flat sigma-model (modulo a quadratic term...)

N=2* vertex and instantons

Vertex operator for the mass deformation

Linear part

$$\begin{split} V_{Xk}(z) = & \left(-\right)^k \frac{m}{4\pi} \left[\left(\bar{Z}^k(z) - \bar{Z}^k(\bar{z}) \right) \partial X(z) \bar{\partial} Z^k(\bar{z}) - \left(\bar{Z}^k(z) - \bar{Z}^k(\bar{z}) \right) \partial Z^k(z) \bar{\partial} X(\bar{z}) \right. \\ & \left. - \bar{\psi}^k \chi(z) \bar{\partial} Z^k(\bar{z}) - \partial Z^k(z) \bar{\psi}^k \chi(z) + \partial X(z) \bar{\psi}^k \psi^k(\bar{z}) + \bar{\psi}^k \psi^k(z) \bar{\partial} X(\bar{z}) \right] , \\ V_{\bar{X}k}(z) = & \left(-\right)^k \frac{\bar{m}}{4\pi} \left[\left(\bar{Z}^k(z) - \bar{Z}^k(\bar{z}) \right) \partial \bar{X}(z) \bar{\partial} Z^k(\bar{z}) - \left(\bar{Z}^k(z) - \bar{Z}^k(\bar{z}) \right) \partial Z^k(z) \bar{\partial} \bar{X}(\bar{z}) \right. \\ & \left. - \bar{\psi}^k \bar{\chi}(z) \bar{\partial} Z^k(\bar{z}) - \partial Z^k(z) \bar{\psi}^k \bar{\chi}(z) + \partial \bar{X}(z) \bar{\psi}^k \psi^k(\bar{z}) + \bar{\psi}^k \psi^k(z) \bar{\partial} \bar{X}(\bar{z}) \right] . \end{split}$$

- Quadratic part

$$V_{XX} = \frac{m^2}{8\pi} \sum_{k=1,2} \left[\psi^k \chi(z) \bar{\psi}^k \chi(\bar{z}) + \bar{\psi}^k \chi(z) \psi^k \chi(\bar{z}) \right]$$

 $V_{X\bar{X}} = \frac{|m|^2}{8\pi} \sum_{k=1,2} \left[\psi^k \chi(z) \bar{\psi}^k \bar{\chi}(\bar{z}) + \bar{\psi}^k \chi(z) \psi^k \bar{\chi}(\bar{z}) + \psi^k \bar{\chi}(z) \bar{\psi}^k \chi(\bar{z}) + \bar{\psi}^k \bar{\chi}(z) \psi^k \chi(\bar{z}) \right] \,.$

N=2* ADHM recovered

- Calculate all tree-level amplitudes with the mass vertices
- Result : agreement with the perturbative and nonperturbative actions!
- For instance, bosonic Yang-Mills scalars

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_m & \ni -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1,2} |m|^2 |\phi_k|^2 \\ &- m \bar{\chi} \left(\left[\phi_1, \bar{\phi}_1 \right] - \left[\phi_2, \bar{\phi}_2 \right] \right) - \bar{m} \chi \left(\left[\phi_1, \bar{\phi}_1 \right] - \left[\phi_2, \bar{\phi}_2 \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

Plan

Introduction

The Refinement of the Topological String – Generelised F-terms and amplitudes – Emergence of topological properties

- Mass deformations of N=4 in String Theory
 - Freely-acting orbifolds and adjoint masses
 - Recovering Nekrasov from the string
- On the Dual Gravitational Backgrounds
 - From the pure N=4 to its deformations
- Conclusions and Outlook

Emergence of AdS from branes

 Probe dual geometry by probe branes in some brane configuration Ferrari (12')

 D3/D(-1) system: D-instantons see the emergent geometry from the D3's (near-horizon limit)

 $\int d\Psi_3 d\Psi_{3/-1} d\Psi_{-1} e^{-S_{D3} - S_{D3/D(-1)} - S_{D(-1)}} = \int dZ e^{-S_{eff}(Z)}$

 The resulting effective action exactly encodes the AdS₅ x S⁵ geometry!

Emergence of AdS from branes

- Large N limit is sometimes crucial to perform the path integral
- The integration over the D₃-D₃ moduli is possible when quantum corrections vanish or can be neglected
 - Ex: when conformal invariance is unbroken
 - Should hold in more general cases
- Generalisation: implement gauge theory deformation and study gravity dual
 - Mass deformation
 - Ω -deformation

Towards the dual of N=2*

 $\int d\Psi_3 d\Psi_{3/-1} d\Psi_{-1} e^{-S_{D3} - S_{D3/D(-1)} - S_{D(-1)}} = \int dZ e^{-S_{eff}(Z)}$

- Do this for N=2* and read dual background
- Involves calculating a chiral correlation function (integration over D₃ d.o.f)
 Nekrasov, Pestun (12')

$$R_N(t, q, x) = \frac{1}{\theta(t, q)} \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k \left(x - m \frac{\partial}{\partial \log t} \right)^k \theta(t, q) = 0$$

Hol. gauge coupling Chiral observables of N=2*

(coord. on elliptic curve)

Vacuum configuration

Plan

Introduction

The Refinement of the Topological String – Generelised F-terms and amplitudes – Emergence of topological properties

- Mass deformations of N=4 in String Theory
 - Freely-acting orbifolds and adjoint masses
 - Recovering Nekrasov from the string
- On the Dual Gravitational Backgrounds
 - From the pure N=4 to its deformations
- Conclusions and Outlook

Concluding remarks

- N=2 is an interesting playground for string theory and gauge theory
 - Learn new aspects on both sides
- Beyond the topological string paradigm
 - Refinement
 - Mass deformation
 - Non-commutativity
- Worldsheet realisation of N=2* and its universality
- Promising candidate for a worldsheet realisation of the refined topological string

Concluding remarks

- Refinement and input from supergravity?
 - Translate ST conditions into WS ones
 - Understand the resulting geometry
- Holographic application through D-instanton probes?
 - Gravitational duals of gauge theory deformations
 - Beyond the conformal case
- Understand these deformations from the topological string point of view: the worldsheet is crucial!



Aspects of N=2 Gauge Theories from String Theory Thank You!

School of Physics, IPM - Teheran 25 - 05 - 2016

Ahmad Zein Assi

