Fundamental Aspects of Steady State Heat to Work Conversion



Giuliano Benenti

Center for Nonlinear and Complex Systems, Univ. Insubria, Como, Italy INFN, Milano, Italy

In collaboration with: Giulio Casati, Vinitha Balachandran, Shunda Chen (Como), Keiji Saito (Yokohama), Tomaz Prosen, Martin Horvat (Ljubljana), Wang Jiao (Xiamen), Carlos Mejía-Monasterio (Madrid) Riccardo Bosisio (Saclay-Pisa) Francesco Mazza, Stefano Valentini, Rosario Fazio, Vittorio Giovannetti, Fabio Taddei (Pisa)

OUTLINE

Coupled charge and heat flow: a dynamical system's perspective on a fundamental problem of statistical physics

Can we learn something about microscopic <u>mechanisms</u> leading to high energy conversion (thermoelectric) efficiency from the study of <u>nonlinear</u> <u>dynamical systems</u>?

Part I: Interacting momentum-conserving systems

Part II: Systems with time-reversal symmetry breaking: Asymmetric thermopower; Overcoming the Curzon-Ahlborn limit; Magnetic thermal switch

Part III: Multi-terminal systems: heat-charge separation

Volta and the discovery of thermoelectricity



(see Anatychuk et al, "On the discovery of thermoelectricity by A.Volta")

Fig. 3 Schematic of Volta's experiment that resulted in the discovery of thermoelectricity: A – metal (iron) arc; B – glasses with water; C and D – frog parts placed in the glasses with water.

1794-1795: letters from Volta to Vassali. "I immersed for some halfminute the end of such (iron) arc into boiling water and, without letting it to cool down, returned to experiments with two glasses of cold water. And it was then that the frog in water started contracting..."

Abram loffe (1950s): Doped semiconductors have large thermoelectric effect

The initial excitement about semiconductors in the 1950s was due to their promise, <u>not in electronics but in refrigeration</u>. The goal was to build environmental benign solid state home refrigerators and power generators

Thermoelectric (Peltier) refrigerators have <u>poor efficiency</u> compared to compressor-based refrigerators

Niche applications: space missions, medical applications, laboratory equipments, air conditioning in submarines (reliability and quiet operation more important than cost)

car's seats cooler/heater



Use vehicle waste heat to improve fuel economy



Figure 1 Integrating thermoelectrics into vehicles for improved fuel efficiency. Shown is a BMW 530i concept car with a thermoelectric generator (yellow; and inset) and radiator (red/blue).

Mildred Dresselhaus et al. (Adv. Materials, 2007): "a newly emerging field of low-dimensional thermoelectricity, enabled by material nanoscience and nanotechnology... Thermoelectric phenomena are expected to play an increasingly important role in meeting the energy challenge for the future..."

<u>Small scale thermoelectricity</u> could be relevant for cooling directly on chip, by purely electronic means. Nanoscale heat management is crucial to reduce the energy cost in many applications of microelectronics.

Thermoelectric applications are limited due to the low conversion <u>efficiency</u>



Cronin Vining: limited role for thermoelectrics in the climate crisis (ZT too small to replace mechanical engines for large-scale applications) Arun Majumdar: at issue are some fundamental scientific challenges, which could be overcome by deeper understanding of charge and heat transport... Coupled 1D particle and heat transport

Stochastic baths: ideal T_L gases at fixed temperature and chemical potential μ_L

$$J_{\rho} = L_{\rho\rho}X_1 + L_{\rho q}X_2$$
$$J_q = L_{q\rho}X_1 + L_{qq}X_2$$

Onsager relation: $L_{\rho q} = L_{q \rho}$ Positivity of entropy production: $L_{\rho \rho} \ge 0, \ L_{qq} \ge 0, \ \det \mathbf{L} \ge 0$

(we assume $T_L > T_R$, $\mu_L < \mu_R$)

Onsager and transport coefficients

$$G = \left(\frac{J_{\rho}}{\Delta \mu/e}\right)_{\Delta T=0} \Rightarrow \quad G = \frac{e^2}{T} L_{\rho\rho}$$

$$(J_{\sigma}) \qquad \qquad 1 \quad \det \mathbf{I}$$



Note that the positivity of entropy production implies that the (isothermal) electric conductance G>0 and the thermal conductance Ξ >0

Maximum efficiency

$$\eta = \frac{\Delta \mu J_{\rho}}{J_q} = \frac{-TX_1(L_{\rho\rho}X_1 + L_{\rho q}X_2)}{L_{q\rho}X_1 + L_{qq}X_2}$$

Find the maximum of η over X_1 , for fixed X_2 (i.e., over the applied voltage ΔV for fixed temperature difference ΔT)

Maximum achieved for

$$X_1 = \frac{L_{qq}}{L_{q\rho}} \left(-1 + \sqrt{\frac{\det L}{L_{\rho\rho}L_{qq}}} \right) X_2$$

Maximum efficiency (for system with time-reversal symmetry)

$$\eta_{\max} = \eta_C \, \frac{\sqrt{ZT+1}-1}{\sqrt{ZT+1}+1}$$

Thermoelectric figure of merit

$$ZT = \frac{L_{q\rho}^2}{\det \mathbf{L}} = \frac{GS^2}{\Xi}T$$

Positivity of entropy production implies ZT > 0



Efficiency at maximum power

Output power $\omega = -TX_1(L_{\rho\rho}X_1 + L_{\rho q}X_2)$

Find the maximum of ω over X_1 , for fixed X_2 (over the applied voltage ΔV for fixed ΔT)

Maximum achieved for
$$X_1 = -\frac{L_{\rho q}}{2L_{\rho \rho}} X_2$$

Efficiency at maximum power

$$\eta(\omega_{\max}) = \frac{\eta_C}{2} \frac{ZT}{ZT+2} \le \eta_{CA} \equiv \frac{\eta_C}{2}$$

 η_{CA} <u>Curzon-Ahlborn upper bound</u>



ZT diverges iff the Onsager matrix is ill-conditioned, that is, the condition number:

$$\operatorname{cond}(\mathbf{L}) \equiv \frac{[\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{L})]^2}{\det(\mathbf{L})}$$

diverges

In such case the system is singular (strong-coupling limit):

$$J_q \propto J_{
ho}$$

(the ratio J_q/J_ρ is independent of the applied voltage and temperature gradients)

Non-interacting systems, Landauer-Büttiker formalism

Charge current

$$J_e = eJ_\rho = \frac{e}{h} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE\tau(E) [f_L(E) - f_R(E)]$$

Heat current from reservoir α

$$J_{q.\alpha} = \frac{1}{h} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE (E - \mu_{\alpha}) \tau(E) [f_L(E) - f_R(E)]$$

 $\tau(E)$ transmission probability for a particle with energy E $f_{\alpha}(E)$ Fermi distribution of the particles injected from reservoir α

Thermoelectric efficiency

$$\eta = \frac{[(\mu_R - \mu_L)/e]J_e}{J_{qL}} = \frac{(\mu_R - \mu_L)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE\tau(E)[f_L(E) - f_R(E)]}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE(E - \mu_L)\tau(E)[f_L(E) - f_R(E)]}$$

- -----

If transmission is possible only inside a tiny energy window around E=E* then

$$\eta = \frac{\mu_L - \mu_R}{E_\star - \mu_L}$$

Energy filtering mechanism

In the limit $J_{\rho} \rightarrow 0$, corresponding to reversible transport

$$\frac{E_{\star} - \mu_L}{T_L} = \frac{E_{\star} - \mu_R}{T_R} \Rightarrow E_{\star} = \frac{\mu_R T_L - \mu_L T_R}{T_L - T_R}$$

 $\eta = \eta_C = 1 - T_R/T_L$ Carnot efficiency

Carnot efficiency obtained in the limit of reversible transport (zero entropy production) and zero output power

> [Mahan and Sofo, PNAS 93, 7436 (1996); Humphrey et al., PRL 89, 116801 (2002)]

Is energy-filtering necessary to get Carnot efficiency?

No, for interacting systems with momentum conservation

Interacting systems, Green-Kubo formula

The Green-Kubo formula expresses linear response transport coefficients in terms of dynamic correlation functions of the corresponding current operators, calculated at thermodynamic equilibrium

$$L_{ij} = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \operatorname{Re} L_{ij}(\omega)$$

$$L_{ij}(\omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-i(\omega - i\epsilon)t} \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda} \int_0^\beta d\tau \langle J_i J_j(t + i\tau) \rangle_T$$

$$\operatorname{Re}L_{ij}(\omega) = 2\pi \mathcal{D}_{ij}\delta(\omega) + L_{ij}^{\operatorname{reg}}(\omega)$$

Non-zero generalized Drude weights signature of ballistic transport

Conservation laws and thermoelectric efficiency Suzuki's formula (which generalizes Mazur's inequality) for finite-size Drude weights

$$D_{ij}(\Lambda) \equiv \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t dt' \langle J_i(t') J_j(0) \rangle_T = \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \sum_{n=1}^M \frac{\langle J_i Q_n \rangle_T \langle J_j Q_n \rangle_T}{\langle Q_n^2 \rangle_T}$$

Q_n relevant (i.e., non-orthogonal to charge and thermal currents), mutually orthogonal conserved quantities

$$\mathcal{D}_{ij} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\Lambda t} \int_0^t dt' \langle J_i(t') J_j(0) \rangle_T$$

Assuming commutativity of the two limits,

$$\mathcal{D}_{ij} = \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} D_{ij}(\Lambda)$$

Momentum-conserving systems

Consider systems with a single relevant constant of motion, notably momentum conservation

Ballistic contribution to det(L) vanishes as

$$\mathcal{D}_{
ho
ho}\mathcal{D}_{uu}-\mathcal{D}_{
ho u}^2=0$$

$$k \propto \frac{\det \mathbf{L}}{L_{
ho
ho}} \propto \Lambda^{lpha}, \quad lpha < 1$$

$$\sigma \propto L_{\rho\rho} \propto \Lambda \qquad ZT = \frac{\sigma S^2}{\kappa} T \propto \Lambda^{1-\alpha} \to \infty \text{ when } \Lambda \to \infty$$
$$S \propto \frac{L_{\rho q}}{L_{\rho\rho}} \propto \Lambda^0 \qquad (\text{G.B., G. Casati, J. Wang, PRL 110, 070604 (2013)})$$

For systems with more than a single relevant constant of motion, for instance for integrable systems, due to the Schwarz inequality

$$D_{\rho\rho}D_{uu} - D_{\rho u}^{2} = \|\boldsymbol{x}_{\rho}\|^{2} \|\boldsymbol{x}_{u}\|^{2} - \langle \boldsymbol{x}_{\rho}, \boldsymbol{x}_{u} \rangle^{2} \ge 0$$
$$\boldsymbol{x}_{i} = (x_{i1}, ..., x_{iM}) = \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \left(\frac{\langle J_{i}Q_{1} \rangle_{T}}{\sqrt{\langle Q_{1}^{2} \rangle_{T}}}, ..., \frac{\langle J_{i}Q_{M} \rangle_{T}}{\sqrt{\langle Q_{M}^{2} \rangle_{T}}} \right)$$
$$\langle \boldsymbol{x}_{\rho}, \boldsymbol{x}_{u} \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{M} x_{\rho k} x_{uk}$$

Equality arises only in the exceptional case when the two vectors are parallel; in general

det
$$\mathbf{L} \propto L^2$$
, $\kappa \propto \Lambda$, $ZT \propto \Lambda^0$

Example: 1D interacting classical gas

Consider a one dimensional gas of elastically colliding particles with unequal masses: m, M

For $M \neq m$ **ZT depends on the system size**

Non-decaying correlation functions



 $\Lambda = 256$ (red dashed curve), 512 (blue dash-dotted curve), and 1024 (black solid curve)

Finite-size Drude weights: analytical results vs. numerics

$$D_{\rho\rho}(\Lambda) = \frac{TN^2}{2\Lambda(mN_1 + MN_2)},$$

$$D_{uu}(\Lambda) = \frac{9T^3N^2}{8\Lambda(mN_1 + MN_2)},$$

$$D_{\rho u}(\Lambda) = \frac{3T^2N^2}{4\Lambda(mN_1 + MN_2)}.$$

$$D_{\rho u}(\Lambda) = \frac{3T^2N^2}{4\Lambda(mN_1 + MN_2)}.$$

Ballistic behavior of Onsager coefficients





Energy-filtering mechanism?

At a given position x compute:

$$J_{\rho} = \int_0^{\infty} dE D(E)$$

 $D(E) \equiv D_L(E) - D_R(E)$ "transmission function"

 $D_L(E)$ Density of particles crossing x from left $D_R(E)$ Density of particles crossing x from right



There is no sign of narrowing of D(E) with increasing the system size L

A mechanism for increasing ZT different from energy filtering is needed

(K. Saito, G.B., G. Casati, Chem. Phys. 375, 508 (2010))

1D Coulomb gas model



Multiparticle collision dynamics (Kapral model)

<u>Streaming step:</u> free propagation during a time τ

$$\vec{r_i} \to \vec{r_i} + \vec{v_i}\tau$$

<u>Collision step:</u> random rotations of the velocities of the particles in cells of linear size *a* with respect to the center of mass velocity:

$$\vec{v}_i \to \vec{V}_{\rm CM} + \hat{\mathcal{R}}^{\pm \alpha} \left(\vec{v}_i - \vec{V}_{\rm CM} \right)$$

Momentum is conserved



The range of linear response shrinks with the system size: Carnot efficiency achieved at zero power

2D simulations (Kapral model)



A free gas of charged interacting particles (electrons,..) has diverging ZT at the thermodynamic limit

(G.B., G. Casati, C. Mejía-Monasterio, New J. Phys. 16, 015014 (2014))

Breaking of momentum conservation



Noise mimicking disorder effects breaking momentum conservations; correlations decay and ZT saturates

Summary

New mechanism for achieving Carnot efficiency in extended interacting systems, provided:

1) Overall momentum is the only relevant constant of motion (translational invariance of interactions, absence of on-site pinning potential)

- 2) Absence of dissipative channels
- Mechanism fundamentally different from energy filtering

No dimensionality restrictions, argument applicable also to quantum systems

Possible implementations in high-mobility 2D electron gases? (elastic mean free paths up to tens of microns)

And when time-reversal is broken?

$$T_{L}, \mu_{L}$$

$$T_{L}, \mu_{L}$$

$$T_{R}, \mu_{R}$$

$$\begin{cases} J_{\rho}(\mathbf{B}) = L_{\rho\rho}(\mathbf{B})X_{1} + L_{\rho q}(\mathbf{B})X_{2} & X_{1} = \beta \Delta \mu \\ X_{2} = -\Delta \beta = \Delta T/T^{2} \\ J_{q}(\mathbf{B}) = L_{q\rho}(\mathbf{B})X_{1} + L_{qq}(\mathbf{B})X_{2} & \beta = 1/T \\ \mathbf{B} \text{ applied magnetic field or any } \\ parameter breaking time-reversibility \\ such as the Coriolis force, etc. \qquad \Delta T = T_{L} - T_{R} \end{cases}$$

(we assume $T_L > T_R$, $\mu_L < \mu_R$)

Constraints from thermodynamics

POSITIVITY OF THE ENTROPY PRODUCTION:

ONSAGER-CASIMIR RELATIONS:

$$L_{ij}(\mathbf{B}) = L_{ji}(-\mathbf{B}) \quad \Box \quad \overset{\sigma(\mathbf{B}) = \sigma(-\mathbf{B})}{\leftarrow} \\ \kappa(\mathbf{B}) = \kappa(-\mathbf{B}) \\ \text{in general, } S(\mathbf{B}) \neq S(-\mathbf{B}) \end{cases}$$

EFFICIENCY AT MAXIMUM POWER

Output power
$$\omega = J_{\rho}\Delta\mu = -J_{\rho}TX_{1}$$

maximum when X_1

$$= -\frac{L_{\rho q}}{2L_{\rho \rho}}X_2$$

$$\omega_{\max} = \frac{T}{4} \frac{L_{\rho q}^2}{L_{\rho \rho}} X_2^2 = \frac{\eta_C}{4} \frac{L_{\rho q}^2}{L_{\rho \rho}} X_2$$

 $\eta_C = -\Delta T/T$ is the Carnot efficiency.

$$\eta(\omega_{\max}) = \frac{\omega_{\max}}{J_q} = \frac{\eta_C}{2} \frac{1}{2\frac{L_{\rho\rho}L_{qq}}{L_{\rhoq}^2} - \frac{L_{q\rho}}{L_{\rhoq}}}$$

Efficiency at maximum power depends on two parameters

$$x \equiv \frac{L_{\rho q}}{L_{q\rho}} = \frac{S(\mathbf{B})}{S(-\mathbf{B})},$$

$$y = \frac{L_{\rho q} L_{q\rho}}{\det \mathbf{L}} = \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{B})S(\mathbf{B})S(-\mathbf{B})}{\kappa(\mathbf{B})}T.$$
$$\eta(\omega_{\max}) = \frac{\eta_C}{2}\frac{xy}{2+y}$$

At B = 0 there is time-reversibility and: asymmetry parameter x = 1the efficiency only depends on y(x = 1) = ZT



 $h(x) \le y \le 0 \text{ if } x < 0$ $0 \le y \le h(x) \text{ if } x > 0$ $h(x) = 4x/(x-1)^2$ maximum η^* of $\eta(\omega_{\max})$ achieved for y = h(x)

 $\eta(\omega_{\max}) \le \eta^* = \eta_C \, \frac{x^2}{x^2 + 1}$

MAXIMUM EFFICIENCY

$$\eta = \frac{\Delta \mu J_{\rho}}{J_q} = \frac{-T X_1 (L_{\rho\rho} X_1 + L_{\rho q} X_2)}{L_{q\rho} X_1 + L_{qq} X_2} \qquad (J_q > 0)$$

Maximum efficiency achieved for

$$X_1 = \frac{L_{qq}}{L_{q\rho}} \left(-1 + \sqrt{\frac{\det \mathbf{L}}{L_{\rho\rho}L_{qq}}} \right) X_2$$

$$\eta_{\max} = \eta_C \, x \, \frac{\sqrt{y+1} - 1}{\sqrt{y+1} + 1}$$



The CA limit can be overcome within linear response

When |x| is large the figure of merit y required to get Carnot efficiency becomes small

<u>Carnot efficiency could be obtained far from the strong</u> <u>coupling condition</u>

(G.B., K. Saito, G. Casati, PRL **106**, 230602 (2011))

OUTPUT POWER AT MAXIMUM EFFICIENCY

$$\omega(\eta_M) = \frac{\eta_M}{4} \frac{|L_{\rho q}^2 - L_{q \rho}^2|}{L_{\rho \rho}} X_2$$

When time-reversibility is broken, <u>within linear</u> <u>response</u> is it possible to have simultaneously Carnot efficiency and non-zero power.

Terms of higher order in the entropy production, beyond linear response, will generally be non-zero. However, irrespective how close we are to the Carnot efficiency, we can find small enough forces such that the linear theory holds.

Reversible part of the currents

$$J_i^{\rm rev} \equiv \frac{L_{ij} - L_{ji}}{2} X_j, \quad i = \rho, q$$

$$J_i^{\rm irr} \equiv L_{ii}X_i + \frac{L_{ij} + L_{ji}}{2} X_j$$

The reversible part of the currents do not contribute to entropy production

$$\dot{S} = J_{\rho}X_1 + J_qX_2 = J_{\rho}^{\rm irr}X_1 + J_q^{\rm irr}X_2$$

Possibility of dissipationless transport?

(K. Brandner, K. Saito, U. Seifert, PRL 110, 070603 (2013))

How to obtain asymmetry in the Seebeck coefficient?

For non-interacting systems, due to the symmetry properties of the scattering matrix $\square S(\mathbf{B}) = S(-\mathbf{B})$

This symmetry does not apply when electron-phonon and electron-electron interactions are taken into account

Let us consider the case of partially coherent transport, with inelastic processes simulated by "conceptual probes" (Buttiker, 1988).

Non-interacting three-terminal model



P probe reservoir

$$T_L = T + \Delta T, \ T_R = T$$
$$\mu_L = \mu + \Delta \mu, \ \mu_R = \mu$$
$$T_P = T + \Delta T_P$$
$$\mu_P = \mu + \Delta \mu$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Charge and energy conservation:} & \sum_k J_{\rho,k} = 0, \\ & \sum_k J_{E,k} = 0, \end{array} \quad (k = L, R, P) \end{array}$

Entropy production (linear response):

$$\dot{S} = {}^{t}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} J_{i}X_{i}, \qquad {}^{t}\mathbf{J} = (eJ_{\rho,L}, J_{q,L}, eJ_{\rho,P}, J_{q,P})$$
$${}^{t}\mathbf{X} = \left(\frac{\Delta\mu}{eT}, \frac{\Delta T}{T^{2}}, \frac{\Delta\mu}{eT}, \frac{\Delta T_{P}}{T^{2}}\right)$$
$$(J_{q,k} = J_{E,k} - \mu J_{\rho,k})$$

Three-terminal Onsager matrix

Equation connecting fluxes and thermodynamic forces:

J = LX

L is a 4×4 Onsager matrix

In block-matrix form:

$$egin{pmatrix} egin{aligned} egin{aligne} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin$$

Zero-particle and heat current condition through the probe terminal: $J_{eta} = (J_3, J_4) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad X_{eta} = -L_{etaeta}^{-1}L_{etalpha}X_{lpha}$

Two-terminal Onsager matrix for partially coherent transport

Reduction to 2x2 Onsager matrix when the third terminal is a probe terminal mimicking phase-breaking.

$$J_{\alpha} = L_{\alpha\alpha}' X_{\alpha}, \quad L_{\alpha\alpha}' \equiv \left(L_{\alpha\alpha} - L_{\alpha\beta} L_{\beta\beta}^{-1} L_{\beta\alpha}\right)$$
$$\begin{pmatrix}J_{1}\\J_{2}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}L'_{11} & L'_{12}\\L'_{21} & L'_{22}\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}X_{1}\\X_{2}\end{pmatrix}$$

 \mathbf{L}' is the two-terminal Onsager matrix for partially coherent transport

The Seebeck coefficient is not bounded to be symmetric in \mathbf{B} (for asymmetric structures)

Illustrative three-dot example

$$T_{L}, \mu_{L} = \begin{array}{c} T_{P}, \mu_{P} \\ \Gamma_{P} \\ \Gamma_{P} \\ \phi \\ T_{L}, \mu_{L} \end{array} \xrightarrow{f_{PL}} \begin{array}{c} \sigma \\ \phi \\ \sigma \\ \tau_{LR} \\ \phi \\ \tau_{RR} \\ \sigma \\ \tau_{RR} \\ \sigma \\ \sigma \\ \tau_{RR} \\ \tau_{RR$$

$$H_{S} = \sum_{k} \epsilon_{k} c_{k}^{\dagger} c_{k} + (t_{LR} c_{R}^{\dagger} c_{L} e^{i\phi/3} + t_{RP} c_{P}^{\dagger} c_{R} e^{i\phi/3} + t_{PL} c_{L}^{\dagger} c_{P} e^{i\phi/3} + \text{H.c.})$$

Asymmetric structure, e.g.. $\epsilon_L \neq \epsilon_R$

First-principle exact calculation within the Landauer-Büttiker multi-terminal approach

Asymmetric Seebeck coefficient



(K. Saito, G. B., G. Casati, T. Prosen, PRB **84**, 201306(R) (2011)) (see also D. Sánchez, L. Serra, PRB **84**, 201307(R) (2011))

Asymmetric power generation and refrigeration

When a magnetic field is added, the efficiencies of power generation and refrigeration are no longer equal:

$$\eta_{\max} = \eta_C \, x \, rac{\sqrt{y+1}-1}{\sqrt{y+1}+1}, \qquad \eta_{\max}^{(r)} = \eta_C^{(r)} rac{1}{x} \, rac{\sqrt{y+1}-1}{\sqrt{y+1}+1}$$

To linear order in the applied magnetic field:

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\eta_{\max}(\boldsymbol{B})}{\eta_C} + \frac{\eta_{\max}^{(r)}(\boldsymbol{B})}{\eta_C^{(r)}} \right] = \frac{\eta_{\max}(\boldsymbol{0})}{\eta_C} = \frac{\eta_{\max}^{(r)}(\boldsymbol{0})}{\eta_C^{(r)}}$$

A small magnetic field improves either power generation or refrigeration, and vice versa if we reverse the direction of the field



The large-field enhancement of efficiencies is modeldependent, but the small-field asymmetry is generic

Optimized efficiency



Optimization by means of simulated annealing

The Curzon-Ahlborn limit can be overcome (within linear response)

(V. Balachandran, G. B., G. Casati, PRB 87, 165419 (2013))

Transmission windows model



Saturation of bounds from the unitarity of S-matrix

Bounds recently obtained for non-interacting 3-terminal transport

(K. Brandner, K. Saito, U. Seifert, PRL 110, 070603 (2013))



Magnetic thermal switch (n-terminal setup)

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{J}^{(r)}(\mathbf{B}) + \mathbf{J}^{(i)}(\mathbf{B})$$
$$\mathbf{J}^{(r)} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{B}) - \mathbf{L}^{T}(\mathbf{B})}{2} \mathbf{X}, \quad \mathbf{J}^{(i)} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{B}) + \mathbf{L}^{T}(\mathbf{B})}{2} \mathbf{X}$$
$$\mathbf{J}^{(r)}(\mathbf{B}) = -\mathbf{J}^{(r)}(-\mathbf{B}) \qquad \mathbf{J}^{(i)}(\mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{J}^{(i)}(-\mathbf{B})$$

Set voltages (for fixed thermal affinities) to obtain conditions on the currents from a subset K of the n terminals:

$$J_k^Q(-\mathbf{B}) = \sum_{k'=1}^{n-1} x_{kk'}^{(\text{target})} J_{k'}^Q(\mathbf{B}), \qquad \forall k \in K$$

(R. Bosisio, S. Valentini, F. Mazza, G.B., V. Giovannetti, R. Fazio, F. Taddei, arXiv:1504.01486)

١

Heat current multiplier:

$$J_k^Q(-\mathbf{B}) = x_k J_k^Q(\mathbf{B})$$



Heat path selector:

$$J_{k}^{Q}(-\mathbf{B}) = J_{k}^{Q(r)}(-\mathbf{B}) + J_{k}^{Q(i)}(-\mathbf{B}) = 0$$
$$J_{k}^{Q}(\mathbf{B}) = J_{k}^{Q(r)}(\mathbf{B}) + J_{k}^{Q(i)}(\mathbf{B}) \neq 0$$



Fully reversible heat:

$$J_k^{Q(i)} = 0$$



Heat current swap:

$$J_k^Q(\mathbf{B}) = J_{k'}^Q(-\mathbf{B})$$
$$J_{k'}^Q(\mathbf{B}) = J_k^Q(-\mathbf{B})$$

$1 \underbrace{S_s}_{L_-} \underbrace{L_+}_{L_-} \underbrace{2}_{J_-}$

Fully reversible heat:

Example:

interferometer model

Heat path selector:



(R. Bosisio, S. Valentini, F. Mazza, G.B., V. Giovannetti, R. Fazio, F. Taddei, arXiv:1504.01486)

Switch also applicable to phononic currents



(O. Entin-Wolman, A. Aharony, PRB **85**, 085401 (2012))

Due to electron-phonon coupling the thermal current from the bosonic terminal has a reversible component

Summary

- When time-reversal symmetry is broken new thermodynamic bounds on thermoelectric efficiencies are needed.
- Carnot efficiency in principle achievable far from the tight coupling regime and with finite power (within linear response)
- The CA limit can be overcome within linear response
- For partially coherent transport in asymmetric structures the Seebeck coefficient is not an even function of the field
- Asymmetric efficiencies of power generation and refrigeration
- Magnetic thermal switch for heat management
- The non-interacting cases studied so far exhibit strongly asymmetric thermopower but with low efficiencies.
- Is this result generic, also beyond linear response and for interacting systems?

Multi-terminal thermoelectricity

Possibility to exploit additional terminals to decouple charge and heat flows and improve thermoelectric efficiency?



The third terminal is not necessarily a probe

Multi-terminalt ransport coefficients

Nonlocal thermopowers

$$S_{ij} = -\left(\frac{\Delta\mu_i}{e\Delta T_j}\right)_{\substack{J_k^N = 0 \ \forall k, \\ \Delta T_k = 0 \ \forall k \neq j}}$$

Electrical and thermal conductances

$$G_{ij} = \left(\frac{e^2 J_i^N}{\Delta \mu_j}\right)_{\substack{\Delta T_k = 0 \ \forall k, \\ \Delta \mu_k = 0 \ \forall k \neq j}} \Xi_{ij} = \left(\frac{J_i^Q}{\Delta T_j}\right)_{\substack{J_k^N = 0 \ \forall k, \\ \Delta T_k = 0 \ \forall k \neq j}}$$

Peltier coefficients

$$\Pi_{ij} = \left(\frac{J_i^Q}{eJ_j^N}\right)_{\substack{\Delta T_k = 0 \ \forall k, \\ \Delta \mu_k = 0 \ \forall k \neq j}} \quad \Pi_{ij}(\mathbf{B}) = TS_{ji}(-\mathbf{B})$$

(F. Mazza, R. Bosisio, G. B., V. Giovannetti, R. Fazio, F. Taddei, New J. Phys. 16, 085001 (2014))

Thermoelectric efficiency

$$\eta = \frac{\dot{W}}{\sum_{i_{+}} J_{i}^{Q}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3} J_{i}^{Q}}{\sum_{i_{+}} J_{i}^{Q}} = \frac{-\sum_{i=1}^{2} \Delta \mu_{i} J_{i}^{N}}{\sum_{i_{+}} J_{i}^{Q}}$$

The sum in the denominator is restricted to positive heat currents only

Various instances are possible and for all of them, in the three-terminal case, explicit formulas for the efficiency at maximum power have been worked out

(F. Mazza, R. Bosisio, G. B., V. Giovannetti, R. Fazio, F. Taddei, New J. Phys. 16, 085001 (2014))

Illustrative example: single dot



Terminal 2 is at temperature between T_1 and T_3

Improving power and/or efficiency



Illustrative example: double dot



In this simple model non-local thermopowers are different from zero

Heat-charge separation



(F. Mazza, S. Valentini, R. Bosisio, G.B., R. Fazio, V. Giovannetti, F. Taddei, arXiv:1503.01601)

Improved thermoelectric performances Statistics of scattering matrices (within the



Summary (part III)

The third terminal can be useful to improve the thermoelectric performances of a system with respect to the two-terminal case

Possible extensions:

- systems with a magnetic field breaking time-reversibility,
- bosonic terminals,
- systems with time-dependent driving (microscopic thermodynamic cycles)