Quantum non-destructive detection of photons AND demonstration of multi-partite entanglement in solidstate atomic ensembles

Khabat Heshami National Research Council of Canada

Outline

- Quantum storage in atomic frequency combs
- QND of time-bin qubits based on storage
- Entanglement of many atomic ensembles in a solid

Quantum memory schemes: Atomic Frequency Comb (AFC)

 Storing optical pulses in a periodic distribution of atomic resonances



M. Afzelius, C. Simon, H. de Riedmatten, and N. Gisin, Phys. Rev. A 79, 052329 (2009)

2016-December

IPM's workshop on QIP

Quantum Memory schemes: Inhomogeneous broadening in RE ion doped crystals

- RE atoms: Er, Pr, Nd, Tm ...
- Host crystals: YAG, YSO, lithium niobate ...



Why non-demolition detection of photons?

- All-optical quantum computation
 - O'Brien et al., Nature **426**, 264 (2003)
 - Nemoto, Munro, PRL 93, 250502 (2004); Munro et al., New J. Phys. 7, 137 (2005)
- Quantum Networks
 - Boone et al., PRA **91**, 052325 (2015)
- Improving PDC pair sources
 - Higher photon number contribution can cause infidelity in certain photonic QIP tasks (Entanglement swapping, Bell-state measurement)
 - Guha et al., PRA 92, 022357 (2015); Wein et al., Phys. Rev. A 94, 032332 (2016)
- Enhancing conventional (destructive) detectors

Requirement: Non-linear interaction

Chang et al., Nat. Photonics 8, 685–694 (2014)

- Rydberg-Rydberg interaction
 - Rydberg blockade: Tiarks et al., Sci. Advances 2, No.4, e1600036 (2016); Gorshkov et al., PRL 107, 1333602 (2011) ...
 - Rydberg-interaction-induced cross-phase shift: Khazali et al., PRA **91**, 030301 (2015), ...
- Atom-cavity systems
 - Reiserer, Ritter, Rempe, Science 342, 1349 (2013)
- AC Stark shift
 - N-Scheme (Schmidt & Imamoglu, *Optics letters* 21.23, 1936 (1996)): Feizpour et al., Nat. Phys. **11**, 905 (2015) Hosseini et al., PRL **116**, 033602 (2016); Beck et al., arXiv:1512.02166;
 - Cross-Kerr interaction: Venkataraman, Saha, Gaeta, Nat. Photonics **7**, 138 (2012)



State of the art

- Most advanced experiments are in atom-cavity systems or trapped (cold) atomic ensembles
 - Hosseini et al., PRL **116**, 033602 (2016); Beck et al., PNAS **113**, 35, 9740-9744 (2016); Feizpour et al., Nat. Phys. **11**, 905-909 (2015); Reiserer et al., Science **342**, 1349-1351 (2013)
- From the practical point of view, we are interested in implementations in solidstate systems or warm atomic ensembles (still relying on AC Stark shift or Rydberg interaction)

Quantum non-demolition detection of time-bin photonic qubits in waveguide quantum memories



2016-December

Probe storage

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_{int},$$

$$\hat{H}_0 = \sum_{j=1}^N \hbar \omega_{ge}^j \hat{\sigma}_{ee}^j,$$

$$\hat{H}_{int} = -\hbar \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\Omega(z,t) \hat{\sigma}_{eg}^{j} e^{-i\omega_{p}(t-z_{j}/c)} + H.c. \right)$$

$$\left(\partial_z + \frac{n}{c}\partial_t\right)\mathcal{E}_p(z,t) = \frac{i\mu_0\omega_p^2}{2k_p}\langle\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{tot}\rangle$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{tot} = \sum_{\delta} \langle g | \hat{d}.\epsilon_p | e \rangle \frac{N(\delta)}{V} \hat{\sigma}_{ge}(z,t;\delta)$$



2016-December

IPM's workshop on QIP

Off-resonant interaction between the signal and AFC

$$\hat{h}_{int}^{j} = -\hbar g \sqrt{\frac{L}{2\pi c}} \int d\omega \hat{a}_{\omega} e^{i\omega z_{j}/c} \hat{\sigma}_{eg}^{j} + \text{H.c.}$$

$$\hat{H}_{int} = -\hbar g \int dz n_z(\delta) e^{i\Delta(t-z/c)} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_s(z,t) \hat{\sigma}_{eg}(z,t;\delta) + H.c.$$



$$\hat{H}_{int}^{eff} = -\frac{\hbar g^2}{\Delta} \int dz n_z(\delta) \left(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_s(z,t) \hat{\mathcal{E}}_s^{\dagger}(z,t) + \hat{\mathcal{E}}_s^{\dagger}(z,t) \hat{\mathcal{E}}_s(z,t) \right) \left(\hat{\sigma}_{gg}(z,t;\delta) - \hat{\sigma}_{ee}(z,t;\delta) \right)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{eg}(z,t=T_2;\delta) = e^{i\delta t}e^{i\Phi}\hat{\sigma}_{eg}(z,t=T_1;\delta),$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\Phi} &= \int_{T_1}^{T_2} dt' \frac{2g^2}{\Delta} \left(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_s(z,t') \hat{\mathcal{E}}_s^{\dagger}(z,t') + \hat{\mathcal{E}}_s^{\dagger}(z,t') \hat{\mathcal{E}}_s(z,t') \right) \\ \phi &= \frac{2g^2}{\Delta} \tau_s = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\lambda_0^2}{n^2 A} \frac{\gamma}{\Delta} \end{split}$$

2016-December

IPM's workshop on QIP

Cross-phase modulation, and offresonant loss

- Induced cross-phase shift
- Loss
- Single-shot measurement sensitivity requirement
- Signal loss due to off-resonant absorption

$$\phi = N_s \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\lambda^2}{n^2 A} \frac{\gamma}{\Delta}$$
$$\zeta L = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{N_g \lambda_0^2}{n^2 A} \frac{\gamma^2}{\Delta^2}$$
$$N_g > \frac{1}{\eta \phi^2} = \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{8\pi n^2 A \Delta}{\lambda_0^2 \gamma}\right)$$



$$\zeta L = \frac{N_g \lambda_0^2 \gamma^2}{8\pi \eta n^2 A \Delta^2} > \frac{8\pi n^2 A}{\eta \lambda_0^2}$$

Multiple passes or cavity enhancement

- Bound on loss is reduced by number of passes (finesse)
- Number of passes required to keep loss to 10%
- Optical depth required for single-photon sensitivity
- Number of passes required for given bandwidth B

Example: Tm:LiNbO3, Linewidth 9 kHz. Optical depth 30, Bandwidth 500 kHz. 930 passes.

Shorter spontaneous lifetime (e.g. Ce) would allow higher bandwidth.









A proof-of-principle experiment

 Highlight the time dependence in phase measurement results





Summary

- Proposal for QND detection of time-bin qubits based RE ion-doped crystals
- Proof-of-principle experiment demonstrating the expected crossphase shift and time-bin state independence
- Enhancing the cross-phase shift per photon through optical cavities is necessary to reach single-shot, lossless, non-demolition singlephoton detection

Neil Sinclair, Khabat Heshami, Chetan Deshmukh, Daniel Oblak, Christoph Simon, Wolfgang Tittel, Nature Communications **7**, 13454 (2016).

Part2:

Entanglement between many macroscopic atomic ensembles in a solid

P. Zarkeshian *et. al.*, Entanglement between more than two hundred macroscopic atomic ensembles in a solid, to appear arXiv:1701.????.

Macroscopic quantum superpositions and entanglement

• Example:

$$(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)^{\bigotimes^N}$$
 vs. $|0\rangle^{\bigotimes^N} + |1\rangle^{\bigotimes^N}$

• Dicke states (symmetric superposition of a single excitation):

$$|W\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}(|100...0\rangle + |010...0\rangle ... + |000...1\rangle)$$

Recent experiments:

-McConnell *et. al.* Entanglement with negative Wigner function of almost 3,000 atoms heralded by one photon. Nature 519, 439–442 (2015).

-Haas *et. al.* Entangled states of more than 40 atoms in an optical fiber cavity. Science 344, 180–183 (2014).

Analogy between multi-slit experiment and AFC



Note: This does not prove entanglement!



Visibility or coherence depth

 Peak intensity compared to background noise is proportional to the number of modes coherently involved.





Echo visibility

- Each mode (path or teeth in AFC) can be modeled as a two-level system (|0> or |1> with or without and excitation)
- One can show

P(t) is proportional to $\langle S_+(t)S_-(t)\rangle$ $S_-(t) = \sum_j e^{i\delta_j t}S_-^j$ and $S_-^j = |0\rangle^j \langle 1|^j$

This leads to

$$R = \frac{P(\frac{2\pi}{\Delta})}{\frac{\Delta}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{\Delta}}^{\frac{3\pi}{\Delta}} P(t)dt} = \frac{\langle S_+ S_- \rangle}{\langle \sum_j |1\rangle^j \langle 1|^j \rangle}$$
IPM's workshop on QIP

2016-December

Missing step: How *R* is related to entanglement?

• First example, single excitation in N modes:

Suppose *R=M* is experimentally measured, then given the definition of *R*

$$R = rac{\langle S_+ S_-
angle}{\left\langle \sum_j |1
angle^j \langle 1|^j
ight
angle}$$

R=M can be achieved with the state

$$|W\rangle_M \otimes |0\rangle^{\otimes(N-M)}$$
$$|W\rangle_M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} (|100...0\rangle + |010...0\rangle ... + |000...1\rangle)$$

Allowing vacuum and non-zero higher order excitations

- Suppose there is a limited probability of creating a single excitation P₁<1:
- $R = \langle S_+ S_- \rangle / P_1 = M \text{ is possible with}$ $P_1 | W \rangle_M \otimes | 0 \rangle^{\otimes (N-M)} + (1-P_1) | 0 \rangle^{\otimes N}$
- Suppose there is a non-zero probability of creating two excitations P₂≠1
- This would allow contributions from completely separable states such as
 <sup>(α|0⟩ + β|1⟩)^{⊗^N}
 </sup>

• A completely separable state such as $(\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle)^{\otimes^N}$

has a Dicke state component of size N. This leads to R=N (in the limit of small β) and $P_2 \simeq P_1^2/2$.

• For a general ansatz state of

$$\rho = \sum q_i |\varphi_i\rangle\langle\varphi_i|$$

consisting optimal components of $|\varphi_i\rangle = (\alpha_i|0\rangle + \beta_i|W\rangle_M)^{\otimes i} \otimes |0\rangle^{\otimes^{N-iM}}$ $R_{max} \simeq M + \frac{\sqrt{2P_2}}{P_1}N \quad \text{OR} \quad M > R - \frac{\sqrt{2P_2}}{P_1}N$

Experimental demonstration of entanglement between many ensembles



IPM's workshop on QIP

Summary

- A proposal and a theoretical treatment to measure and prove multipartite entanglement of Dicke-type states
- Experimental demonstration of entanglement between over 200 ensembles inside a solid

Thanks!

Theory:
 Christoph Simon (PI), QND & Ent.
 Parisa Zarkeshian, Ent.
 Sandeep Goyal, Ent.

Experiment
Wolfgang Tittel (PI), QND & Ent.
Chetan Deshmukh, QND & Ent.
Neil Sinclair, QND & Ent.
Daniel Oblak, QND & Ent.
G. H. Aguilar, Ent.
P. Lefebvre, Ent.
M. Grimau Puigibert, Ent.
V. B. Verma, Ent.
F. Marsili, Ent.
M. D. Shaw, Ent.
S. W. Nam, Ent.

• NRC:

Duncan England (RA) Philip Bustard (RO) Connor Kupchak (RA) Michael Spanner (RO) Benjamin Sussman (Program manager) • Uottawa: Ebrahim Karimi (PI) Fred Bouchard Alicia Sit Robert Fickler