



Horizon fluff

A semi-classical approach to (BTZ) black hole microstates

1705.06257 with D. Grumiller, M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari and H. Yavartanoo

Hamid R. Afshar

11 May 2017 - Recent Trends in String Theory and Related Topics

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM)

'Near Horizon' collaboration

- Stephane Detournay
- Daniel Grumiller
- Wout Merbis
- **Blagoje Oblak**
- Alfredo Perez
- **Shahin Sheikh-Jabbari**
- David Tempo
- Ricardo Troncoso
- Hossein Yavartanoo

Horizon fluff proposal

- Black hole microstates = horizon fluff: subset of near horizon soft hairs not distinguishable by the observers away from the horizon.
- Black hole: a state in the Hilbert space of asymptotic symmetries:

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{m+n} + \frac{k}{2} n^3 \delta_{n+m,0}.$$

- Soft hairs: states in the Hilbert space of 'near horizon' algebra:

$$[J_n, J_m] = \frac{k}{2} n \delta_{n+m,0}.$$

- Duality map between asymptotic Hilbert space (BTZ) to the near horizon Hilbert space provides the required degeneracy (entropy).

1. Motivation
2. Brown-Henneaux boundary conditions
3. Near horizon boundary conditions
4. Quantization of conical defects
5. Black hole microstates
6. Logarithmic correction to entropy
7. Conclusion

Motivation

The **Bekenstein-Hawking** area law for black hole entropy is observer independent and is accessible through semiclassical considerations.

$$S = A/(4G) - q \ln A/(4G) + O(1)$$
$$= \ln (\# \text{ microstates })$$

Universality of this result suggests that a statistical description of microstates in the thermodynamic limit does not need full knowledge of the underlying quantum theory.

- Diffeomorphic geometries which differ by their boundary behavior can be physically distinct, **asymptotic soft hairs**. The conserved charges associated with the diffeomorphisms relating them is non-zero and form an infinite dimensional algebra.
- A black hole spacetime in particular can carry low-energy quantum excitations, **near horizon soft hairs**, providing a huge degeneracy to their vacuum.

Could they amount for microstates of black holes?

How to identify microstates among them?

Brown-Henneaux boundary conditions

All Locally AdS₃ geometries obeying Brown–Henneaux b.c.:

$$ds^2 = \ell^2 \frac{dr^2}{r^2} - r^2 \left(dx^+ - \frac{\ell^2 L_-(x^-)}{r^2} dx^- \right) \left(dx^- - \frac{\ell^2 L_+(x^+)}{r^2} dx^+ \right)$$

$$L_{\pm}(x^{\pm} + 2\pi) = L_{\pm}(x^{\pm}), \quad x^{\pm} = t/\ell \pm \phi, \quad \phi \in [0, 2\pi].$$

Constant family:

$$L_+ = L_- = L_0$$

BTZ black holes: $L_0 \geq 0$

Global AdS₃: $L_0 = -1/4$

Conical defects: $-1/4 < L_0 < 0$

Brown-Henneaux symmetries

Bañados geometries fall into representation of asymptotic (simplectic) symmetry algebra which is two copies of Virasoro at Brown-Henneaux central charge $c = 6k$. The infinitesimal action of Virasoro symmetries on functions L_{\pm} is;

$$\delta_{\epsilon_{\pm}} L_{\pm} = 2L_{\pm}\epsilon'_{\pm} + \epsilon'_{\pm}L_{\pm} - \epsilon'''_{\pm}/2.$$

The corresponding geometries with (L_{\pm}) fall into a one-to-one relation with the coadjoint orbits of these symmetries. They are BTZ black holes, conic spaces and global AdS_3 and their conformal descendants, explicitly:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{Vir}} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{BH}} \cup \underbrace{\mathcal{H}_{\text{Conic}} \cup \mathcal{H}_{\text{gAdS}}}_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{CG}}}.$$

Near horizon boundary conditions

Near horizon boundary conditions

All locally AdS_3 geometries with **horizon** at $r = 0$ are parametrized by 4 **real** functions

$$ds^2 = dr^2 - \ell^2 \sinh^2 \frac{r}{\ell} [a dt - \omega d\varphi]^2 + \cosh^2 \frac{r}{\ell} [\Omega dt + \gamma d\varphi]^2$$

$$\partial_t J^\pm = \pm \partial_\varphi \zeta^\pm; \quad 2\zeta^\pm \equiv -a \pm \frac{\Omega}{\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad 2J^\pm \equiv \frac{\gamma}{\ell} \pm \omega.$$

Constant family:

$$J_+ = J_- = \pm J_0, \quad L_0 = J_0^2$$

BTZ black holes: $J_0 \geq 0$

Global AdS_3 : $J_0 = \frac{i}{2}$

Conical defects: $J_0 = \frac{i\nu}{2}, \quad \nu \in (0, 1)$

Near horizon boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= dr^2 - \ell^2 \sinh^2 \frac{r}{\ell} [a dt - \omega d\varphi]^2 + \cosh^2 \frac{r}{\ell} [\Omega dt + \gamma d\varphi]^2 \\ &= dr^2 - (ar)^2 dt^2 + \gamma^2 d\varphi^2 + \mathcal{O}(r^2). \quad \varphi \sim \varphi + 2\pi \end{aligned}$$

- Rindler space: Universal near horizon to any non-extremal horizon.

Near horizon boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= dr^2 - \ell^2 \sinh^2 \frac{r}{\ell} [a dt - \omega d\varphi]^2 + \cosh^2 \frac{r}{\ell} [\Omega dt + \gamma d\varphi]^2 \\ &= dr^2 - (ar)^2 dt^2 + \gamma^2 d\varphi^2 + \mathcal{O}(r^2). \quad \varphi \sim \varphi + 2\pi \end{aligned}$$

- Rindler space: Universal near horizon to any non-extremal horizon.
- In the canonical description the Rindler acceleration a is fixed.

Near horizon boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= dr^2 - \ell^2 \sinh^2 \frac{r}{\ell} [a dt - \omega d\varphi]^2 + \cosh^2 \frac{r}{\ell} [\Omega dt + \gamma d\varphi]^2 \\ &= dr^2 - (ar)^2 dt^2 + \gamma^2 d\varphi^2 + \mathcal{O}(r^2). \quad \varphi \sim \varphi + 2\pi \end{aligned}$$

- Rindler space: Universal near horizon to any non-extremal horizon.
- In the canonical description the Rindler acceleration a is fixed.
- AdS radius ℓ drops out of the near horizon line-element.

Near horizon boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= dr^2 - \ell^2 \sinh^2 \frac{r}{\ell} [a dt - \omega d\varphi]^2 + \cosh^2 \frac{r}{\ell} [\Omega dt + \gamma d\varphi]^2 \\ &= dr^2 - (ar)^2 dt^2 + \gamma^2 d\varphi^2 + \mathcal{O}(r^2). \quad \varphi \sim \varphi + 2\pi \end{aligned}$$

- Rindler space: Universal near horizon to any non-extremal horizon.
- In the canonical description the Rindler acceleration a is fixed.
- AdS radius ℓ drops out of the near horizon line-element.
- All solutions have a regular horizon, regardless of the value of γ, ω as long as $a/(2\pi)$ is identified with the Unruh temperature.

Symmetries of the Near-Horizon

The most general transformation that preserves this boundary condition and also preserves the the field equation, with $\delta\zeta = 0$, transforms J 's as;

$$\delta_\eta J = \eta'.$$

$u(1)_k$ -algebra

$$[J_n, J_m] = \frac{k}{2} n \delta_{n+m,0}.$$

How are these two geometries related? Check how J is transformed under conformal transformation acting on the metric:

$$\delta_\epsilon J = (\epsilon J)' - \epsilon''/2 \quad \rightarrow \quad L = J^2 + J'.$$

$$L_n \equiv \frac{6}{c} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} J_{n-p} J_p + i n J_n$$

Quantization of conical defects

Wilson lines as primary fields

- The fields $J(\phi)$ are primary fields if the anomalous term ϵ'' can be ignored that is for **black hole** sector ($J_0^* = J_0$) with J being **large**;

$$L = J' + J^2 \quad \rightarrow \quad \delta_\epsilon J = (\epsilon J)' - \epsilon''/2$$

- We can construct the primary field \mathcal{W} ;

$$\mathcal{W}(\phi) = e^{-2 \int^\phi J} \quad \rightarrow \quad \delta_\epsilon \mathcal{W} = (\epsilon \mathcal{W})'$$

with the **periodicity** property:

$$\mathcal{W}^\pm(\phi + 2\pi) = e^{\mp 4\pi J_0} \mathcal{W}^\pm(\phi)$$

which is a good description for **conic spaces** ($J_0^* = -J_0$).

Quantization of \mathcal{W} fields

From $\delta_\eta J = \eta'/2$ we get;

$$\delta_{\eta_\pm} \mathcal{W}^\pm = -\eta_\pm \mathcal{W}^\pm, \quad \eta_\pm = \int^\phi \eta' \pm i\eta_0$$

and using the appropriate mode expansion

$$\mathcal{W}(\varphi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{W}_n^\nu e^{i(n \pm \nu)\varphi}, \quad \mathcal{W}_n^\nu = \frac{6}{c} \langle \mathcal{W}_n^\nu \rangle,$$

we get;

$$[\mathbf{J}_n, \mathcal{W}_m^\pm] = -i \mathcal{W}_{n+m}^\pm, (\forall n \neq 0), \quad [\mathbf{J}_0, \mathcal{W}_n^\pm] = \mp i \frac{c}{6} \mathcal{W}_n^\pm \delta_{n,0}.$$

The \mathcal{W} operators are like ladder operators of \mathbf{J}_0 .

Free field realization of \mathcal{W} -fields

The “interaction terms” $\langle \mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}J \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{W}JJ \rangle$ are suppressed by factors of $1/c$. In the large c regime, the algebra can be closed as:

$$[\mathcal{W}_n^{\pm\nu}, \mathcal{W}_m^{\mp\nu'}] = \frac{c}{12} (n \pm \nu) \delta_{n,-m} \delta(\nu - \nu'), \quad \nu, \nu' \in (0, 1),$$

This gives a free field realization for \mathcal{W} -fields.

Bohr-type quantization condition

$$\nu = \frac{r}{c}, \quad r = 1, \dots, c, \quad c \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- To construct the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{CG} , we use the Fourier modes \mathcal{J}_n :

$$\mathcal{J}_{cn+r} \equiv \sqrt{6} \mathcal{W}_n^r, \quad r = \nu c = 1, 2, \dots, c.$$

- The commutation relation of \mathcal{W}_n 's takes a very simple form

$$[\mathcal{J}_n, \mathcal{J}_m] = \frac{n}{2} \delta_{n,-m},$$

- We define the vacuum state as global AdS₃ by

$$\mathcal{J}_n |0\rangle = 0, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Virasoro algebra of near horizon

In parallel to the black hole sector we can have a Virasoro generator;

$$L_n^r = \frac{6}{c} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} : \mathcal{W}_{n-p}^{-r} \mathcal{W}_p^r : + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{2r}{c} - 1 \right)^2 \right) \delta_{n,0}$$

The generators $L_n = \sum_{r=1}^c L_n^r$, can be written in terms of \mathcal{J}_n modes;

$$L_n = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} : \mathcal{J}_{n-p} \mathcal{J}_p : - \frac{1}{24c} \delta_{n,0}$$

They satisfy a Virasoro algebra at Brown-Henneaux central charge c .

Black hole microstates

State of a BTZ

Duality ($\mathcal{L}_{nc} = c\mathbf{L}_n$)

$$\frac{1}{c} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{J}_{nc-p} \mathcal{J}_p = in\mathbf{J}_n + \frac{6}{c} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{J}_{n-p} \mathbf{J}_p.$$

The two Hilbert spaces in $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Vir}} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{BH}} \cup \mathcal{H}_{\text{CG}}$ are related.

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{CG}} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{BH}}$$

A given AdS_3 black hole state:

$$|\text{BTZ}\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{BH}} \longleftrightarrow \text{micro-states} = |\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{CG}}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{L}_n \rangle_{\text{BTZ}} = \frac{1}{2}(\ell M \pm J) \delta_{n,0}.$$

Horizon fluffs = Microstates $\in \mathcal{H}_{CG}$

$$[\mathcal{J}_m, \mathcal{J}_n] = \frac{m}{2} \delta_{m+n,0}$$

$$|\Psi\rangle = \mathcal{J}_{-m_i} \cdots \mathcal{J}_{-m_2} \mathcal{J}_{-m_1} |0\rangle, \quad \forall m_i > 0$$

So $|\Psi\rangle$ describes a blackhole state if;

$$\frac{1}{2}(\ell M \pm J) = \langle \mathbf{L}_0^\pm \rangle = \frac{1}{c} \langle \mathcal{L}_0^\pm \rangle = \frac{1}{c} \sum_i n_i$$

Mathematically, this reduces to Hardy and Ramanujan combinatorial problem: the number $p(N)$ of ways a positive integer N can be partitioned into non-negative integers in the limit of large N ;

$$p(N) \simeq \frac{1}{4N\sqrt{3}} \exp\left(2\pi\sqrt{\frac{N}{6}}\right), \quad N \gg 1.$$

Microcanonical entropy as logarithm of the number of states:

$$S_0 = \ln p(N^+) + \ln p(N^-) = 2\pi \left(\sqrt{\frac{cL_0^+}{6}} + \sqrt{\frac{cL_0^-}{6}} \right)$$

where $cL_0^\pm = c(\ell M \pm J) = \sum_i n_i = N^\pm$.

Reminding $L_0^\pm = (J_0^\pm)^2$, the entropy is;

$$S_0 = \frac{\pi c}{3} (J_0^+ + J_0^-)$$

Logarithmic correction to entropy

Micro-canonical entropy

$$S_0 = \frac{\pi c}{3} (J_0^+ + J_0^-)$$

The logarithmic correction:

$$S = S_0 - 2 \ln S_0 + \dots,$$

We should map the J fields onto the microcanonical fields in terms of h ,

$$J(\phi) = J_0 h'(\phi) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{h''(\phi)}{h'(\phi)}.$$

The microcanonical entropy is obtained through replacing J_0 with

$$J_0 = \frac{k}{2\pi} \left\langle \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi J(\phi) \right\rangle_{\text{BTZ}}.$$

Micro-canonical entropy

Using the replica trick:

$$\langle \ln(h'(\phi)) \Big|_0^{2\pi} \rangle_{\text{BTZ}} = -\ln J_0 + J_0 \text{-independent terms.}$$

Consequently we find the exact match for the log correction in the mic-canonical ensemble for BTZ black holes;

$$S_{\text{mic}} = S_{\text{BH}} - \frac{3}{2} \ln S + \dots$$

Conclusion

Summary

The horizon fluff proposal is a semi-classical proposal for constructing all BTZ microstates. Remarkably, within this semiclassical setting we not only derived the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy but also the logarithmic corrections. We just used semi-classical results about symmetries and some basic “Bohr-type” quantization on central charge and the deficit angle and the fact that the near horizon and asymptotic energies differ by a factor of $1/c$ and do not need any detailed UV completed quantum gravity description.

Questions?